

## QUALITY REPORT

# Ekovilla 1 Kiiminki

PUR-522433 · Puro · Finland

Report ID: CM-66E80937 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 4.3

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has a recent verification report (2022) by DNV and reports no material findings or corrective actions, which supports basic assurance. However, key integrity drivers—additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and quantified verified results—were not found in the extracted record, creating a meaningful over-crediting risk for claims.

## Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-522433
Sector	biomass
Country	Finland
Vintage	2021, 2022
VVB	DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

## Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether it was confirmed by the VVB were not found in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record.
- No extracted figures for claimed or verified emission reductions for the 2021 monitoring period.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + The verification report (2022) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Additionality, baseline, and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record, limiting confidence in environmental integrity.

The verification report (2022) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for process compliance. However, the extracted record does not include the additionality test type, whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the baseline method, or any baseline reassessment timing. Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) was also not found in the extracted record, which materially weakens confidence in the robustness of credited reductions.

### Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31 in the verification report (2022).
- Claimed vs verified emission reduction totals and key MRV parameters (e.g., usage monitoring method) were not found in the extracted record.

The verification report (2022) clearly specifies the monitoring period as 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31 and identifies the VVB as DNV. At the same time, the extracted record does not provide claimed or verified total emission reductions, nor does it include key MRV elements such as the usage monitoring method or any fossil/non-renewable biomass fraction approach. This limits third-party ability to independently understand or replicate the quantified outcome.

### Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- CCP status is listed as eligible, while CORSIA eligibility was not found in the extracted record—this increases the need for careful claim framing and due diligence.
- Baseline, leakage, and quantified verified results were not found in the extracted record, increasing perceived over-crediting/greenwashing risk.

The project is marked as CCP-eligible in the extracted record, which may increase the likelihood that credits are used for higher-stakes claims. However, CORSIA eligibility was not found in the extracted record, and core quantification elements (baseline approach, leakage treatment, and verified ER totals) are missing from the extracted data. These gaps elevate the risk that public claims could outpace what can be substantiated from the available extracted documentation.

### Documentation — 4.8 / 10

- + A named VVB (DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and a dated verification report (2022-05-24) are present.
- ~ Evidence document identification is weak (listed as "unknown"), and several core fields were not found in the extracted record; extraction confidence is only medium.

There is a dated verification report (2022-05-24) and a clearly named VVB (DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab), and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low. Still, the evidence document list is not well specified (shown as "unknown"), only two documents were used, and many critical fields (methodology, baseline, additionality, leakage, ER totals) were not found in the extracted record. Overall documentation completeness is therefore moderate at best.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	Additionality not evidenced in extracted record
● <b>Permanence</b>	Buffer pool 10% noted; reversals not evidenced
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment not evidenced in extracted record
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline approach not evidenced in extracted record
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced in extracted record
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CCP-eligible; CORSIA status not evidenced

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or extract the specific additionality assessment (test type, key assumptions) and explicit VVB confirmation from the verification/PDD.

→ Disclose the baseline method (including any reassessment schedule) and the quantified claimed vs verified emission reductions for the 2021 monitoring period.

→ Provide leakage assessment details (justification and any deduction) and the usage monitoring method used to quantify benefits.

## Documents Reviewed

- Audit\_2022\_Ekovilla1Kiiminki.pdf
- Puro\_Registration\_Ekovilla1Kiiminki.pdf
- Audit\_2021\_Ekovilla1Kiiminki.pdf
- Description\_Ekovilla.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-66E80937 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy