

QUALITY REPORT

Pyrocal - Wellcamp

PUR-569274 · Puro · Australia

Report ID: CM-7498521A · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	3.3
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	2.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has VVB-confirmed additionality via an investment test and a stated 2.5% buffer contribution, but key quantification elements (baseline approach, leakage treatment, and verified net removals) were not found in the extracted record. Low document readability and multiple corrective actions in the verification report increase uncertainty and reduce confidence in the robustness of claims.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-569274
Sector	biochar
Country	Australia
Vintage	2021, 2022
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology v2
VVB	EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	7 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Verified and claimed net removals were not found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to assess over-crediting risk.
- Baseline approach and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record for a biochar supply-chain project type where leakage can be material.
- Minimum extraction confidence is low and the verification report lists multiple corrective actions (LCA template/inputs, client declarations/CORC calculation, biochar yield).

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report (2024-09-23) confirms additionality using an investment test.

- Baseline method and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record, and the verification report (2024-09-23) required corrective actions on LCA inputs and biochar yield.

The verification report (2024-09-23) confirms additionality via an investment test, which supports additionality robustness. However, the baseline approach and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record, making it difficult to judge baseline validity. Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) was also not found in the extracted record, and the verification report (2024-09-23) required corrective actions related to LCA inputs and biochar yield, which are central to quantifying durable carbon removal.

Transparency — 3.3 / 10

+ A VVB is identified (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd) and a monitoring period is specified (2022-01-01 to 2023-06-30).

- Claimed vs verified net removals and the usage monitoring approach were not found in the extracted record; minimum extraction confidence is low.

The extracted record identifies the VVB as EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd and provides a monitoring period of 2022-01-01 to 2023-06-30, which is a basic transparency positive. But the claimed and verified net removals were not found in the extracted record, preventing a check of what was issued versus what was verified. Minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence documents are not clearly enumerated (shown as “unknown”), reducing confidence in the completeness of the public record.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, reducing one channel of double-claim risk.

- Over-crediting risk cannot be well assessed because verified net removals, baseline method, and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record.

The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, which lowers the risk of CORSIA-related double claiming. CCP status was not found in the extracted record, so alignment with higher-integrity labels cannot be confirmed. Because baseline method, leakage treatment, and verified net removals were not found in the extracted record, there is elevated greenwashing/over-crediting risk from an inability to independently validate the magnitude of removals.

Documentation — 2.6 / 10

- Minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence document list is not specific (listed as “unknown”).

- The verification report (2024-09-23) lists multiple corrective actions (LCA template/period/inputs, client declarations/CORC calculation, biochar yield), indicating documentation gaps at verification.

Although 7 documents were used in extraction, the minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable, which materially weakens documentation reliability. The evidence document list is not specific (listed as “unknown”), limiting traceability. The verification report (2024-09-23) includes several corrective actions (LCA template and inputs, reporting period, client declarations/CORC calculation, and biochar yield), suggesting the documentation package required substantive fixes.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Buffer stated (2.5%), reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
● Baseline	Baseline method not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards inconsistently documented; no FPIC/grievance
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not found

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish or clearly reference the verified net removals for the monitoring period (2022-01-01 to 2023-06-30) and reconcile claimed vs verified figures in the verification/registry record.
- Disclose the baseline approach and leakage assessment (including any deduction and justification) and provide the finalized LCA inputs/yield evidence addressing the corrective actions in the 2024-09-23 verification report.

Documents Reviewed

- Withdrawal_2022_PyrocalWellcamp.pdf
- Additionality_PyrocalWellcamp.pdf
- Description_PyrocalWellcamp.pdf
- Audit_2022_PyrocalWellcamp_statement.pdf
- Audit_2024_PyrocalWellcamp_statement.pdf
- Puro_registration_PyrocalWellcamp.pdf
- Audit_2024_PyrocalWellcamp_report.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-7498521A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy