

QUALITY REPORT

NetZero-001-Nkongsamba

PUR-641719 · Puro · Cameroon

Report ID: CM-9609DC24 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	3.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	2.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.4
	■ Documentation (15%)	2.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has a verification report dated 2022-10-21 with no material findings or corrective actions reported, but key integrity parameters (baseline approach, additionality test, leakage treatment, and permanence/buffer provisions) are not stated in the extracted record. Low extraction confidence and limited identifiable source documents materially reduce confidence in MRV completeness and the safety of public claims.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-641719
Sector	biochar
Country	Cameroon
Vintage	2022
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology v2.4.1
VVB	EnergyLink Services
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach is not stated in the extracted record, and it is not clear whether the VVB confirmed additionality.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting risk.
- Leakage deduction and justification are not stated in the extracted record for a biochar supply-chain project where leakage can be material.
- Low extraction confidence and an 'unknown' evidence document listing reduce confidence in the underlying documentation.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.2 / 10

- + The verification report (2022-10-21) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and permanence/buffer provisions are not stated in the extracted record.

The verification report (2022-10-21) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal on process quality. However, the extracted record does not state the additionality test used, whether the VVB confirmed additionality, the baseline method, or any leakage deduction/justification. Buffer pool provisions and any reversal events are also not stated in the extracted record, leaving permanence risk management unclear for a removal credit.

Transparency — 2.8 / 10

- + A specific monitoring period is provided (2022-06-06 to 2022-08-18) and a VVB is named (EnergyLink Services) in the verification report (2022-10-21).
- Claimed vs verified removals are not stated in the extracted record, and key MRV elements (e.g., monitoring methods) are not stated.

The project provides a defined monitoring period (2022-06-06 to 2022-08-18) and names the VVB (EnergyLink Services) in the verification report (2022-10-21). But the extracted record does not include claimed versus verified total removals, nor does it state key monitoring approaches (e.g., usage monitoring method, fossil/non-renewable biomass method/value where relevant). Low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the publicly available record is complete.

Claim Safety — 3.4 / 10

- + The project is identified as a Puro biochar removal using Biochar Methodology v2.4.1 (verification report dated 2022-10-21).
- CORSIA/CCP status is not stated in the extracted record and core over-crediting controls (baseline, leakage, additionality) are not stated.

The project is presented as a biochar removal under Puro's Biochar Methodology v2.4.1 (verification report dated 2022-10-21), which can support higher-quality claims when core parameters are disclosed. Here, baseline method, leakage treatment, and additionality substantiation are not stated in the extracted record, elevating over-crediting and greenwashing risk. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are also not stated, so buyers cannot easily assess cross-program claim constraints from the extracted record.

Documentation — 2.6 / 10

- + The extracted record indicates three documents were used and includes a dated verification report (2022-10-21).
- Minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence document list is not clearly identified (listed as 'unknown').

A dated verification report (2022-10-21) is present and the extraction indicates three documents were used. However, the evidence document list is not clearly identified (shown as 'unknown'), and the minimum extraction confidence is low, implying at least one key document was poorly readable. With many critical fields not stated, documentation completeness is weak.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality test not found in extracted record
● Permanence	Buffer/reversal provisions not stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC/grievance/safeguards not found in extracted record
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or clearly reference the additionality assessment (test type and VVB conclusion) and the baseline approach used under the Puro methodology, including any reassessment schedule.

→ Disclose leakage assumptions/deductions with justification and provide permanence risk management details (e.g., buffer contribution, monitoring for reversals) alongside claimed vs verified removal totals for the monitoring period.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2022_NetZero-001-Nkongsamba.pdf
- Description_NetZero.pdf
- Puro_Registration_NetZero-001-Nkongsamba.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9609DC24 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy