

QUALITY REPORT

Bussme-01-Svedala

PUR-665890 · Puro · Sweden

Report ID: CM-602A7279 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
■ Transparency (25%)	5.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	6.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has some integrity strengths, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a stated buffer contribution, but key accounting elements (baseline and leakage treatment) were not found in the extracted record. A material discrepancy affecting 7% of issued CORCs was identified and corrected, which supports process integrity but also raises over-crediting risk if controls are weak.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-665890
Sector	biomass
Country	Sweden
Vintage	2022, 2023
Project Methodology	Puro Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 Version 3
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	9 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Baseline approach and reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record, limiting confidence in counterfactual assumptions.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record for a biomass/biochar supply chain where leakage can be material.
- Verification report identified a material discrepancy between production and shipped volumes with a 7% impact on CORCs.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality was verified by the VVB.

- Leakage treatment and baseline approach were not found in the extracted record, weakening robustness of net removals.

The verification report (2025-03-03) indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports additionality robustness. However, the baseline approach and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record, making it hard to judge counterfactual validity. Leakage deduction and leakage justification were also not found in the extracted record, which is a notable gap for a biomass/biochar system. A buffer contribution of 2.5% is stated, but no reversal events were found in the extracted record, leaving permanence performance only partially evidenced.

Transparency — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report provides a defined monitoring period (2023-01-01 to 2024-01-31) and names the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab).

- Total removals claimed and verified were not found in the extracted record, limiting independent scrutiny.

Transparency is moderate because the verification report (2025-03-03) clearly identifies the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and the monitoring period (2023-01-01—2024-01-31). At the same time, the extracted record does not include total removals claimed or verified, which limits the ability to reconcile issuance with monitoring outcomes. Key monitoring specifics such as usage monitoring method were not found in the extracted record.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ A material error was identified and corrected (revised CORCs calculation), indicating issues were surfaced rather than ignored.

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, and key quantifications (claimed/verified removals) are missing, increasing greenwashing/over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is constrained by missing quantifications: total removals claimed and verified were not found in the extracted record, increasing the risk of overstated marketing claims. The verification report (2025-03-03) reports a material discrepancy between reported production and shipped volumes with a 7% impact on CORCs, and notes the calculation was revised, which reduces risk going forward but signals prior over-crediting exposure. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, so buyers cannot easily assess alignment with higher-integrity eligibility screens.

Documentation — 6.1 / 10

+ A recent verification report is available (dated 2025-03-03) with a named VVB and references to multiple documents (7 used).

- Evidence document list is not specific in the extracted record and extraction confidence is only medium.

Documentation quality is fair: the extracted record is anchored in a recent verification report (2025-03-03) and indicates 7 documents were used, with a named VVB. However, the evidence document list is not specific (listed as unknown), and several core fields (baseline, leakage, totals) were not found in the extracted record, indicating incomplete extractable disclosure. Minimum extraction confidence is medium, which modestly reduces confidence in completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	Buffer stated; reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
● Baseline	Baseline approach not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned; key details missing
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not found

What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose the baseline approach and any reassessment schedule in publicly accessible documentation, with clear justification aligned to the Puro Biochar Methodology (Edition 2022 Version 3).

→ Publish leakage assessment results (deduction percentage and justification) and provide a clear reconciliation table showing total removals claimed vs verified for the monitoring period.

Documents Reviewed

- Additionality_Bussme01Svedala.pdf
- Audit_2024_Bussme-01-Munka-Ljungby_Svedala_report_final.pdf
- Description_Bussme.pdf
- Puro_Registration_Bussme01Svedala.pdf
- Audit_2022_Bussme-01-Svedala.pdf
- Audit_2021_Bussme01Svedala.pdf
- Audit_2023_Bussme01Svedala_report.pdf
- Audit_2023_Bussme01Svedala_statement.pdf
- Audit_2024_Bussme-01-Munka-Ljungby_Svedala_statement.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-602A7279 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy