

QUALITY REPORT

ECHO2 Holla-Fresh

PUR-767851 · Puro · Australia

Report ID: CM-9DC74798 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.0
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has some integrity positives (a stated buffer pool contribution and a recent verification report with no material findings), but key elements needed to judge additionality, baseline setting, and leakage are not found in the extracted record. The limited quantified MRV outputs (no verified removals figure captured) and missing safeguards information increase uncertainty and greenwashing risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-767851
Sector	industrial
Country	Australia
Vintage	2020, 2022
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology v2.4.1
VVB	EnergyLink Services
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether it was confirmed by the verifier are not found in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in available documents.
- Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) is not stated in available documents.
- No verified removals/ERR total is captured in the extracted record, limiting independent scrutiny.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + A buffer pool contribution of 2.5% is stated for this biochar removal project (verification report, 2023-03-07).
- Additionality, baseline approach, and leakage treatment are not found in the extracted record, limiting assessment of over-crediting risk.

The project is assessed under Puro's Biochar Methodology v2.4.1 and includes a stated buffer pool contribution of 2.5% (verification report, 2023-03-07), which supports permanence risk management in principle. However, the extracted record does not show the additionality test type or confirmation by the verifier, nor does it include the baseline method or any baseline reassessment timing, making it difficult to judge whether credited removals are truly additional. Leakage is also not quantified or justified in the extracted record, which is a material integrity uncertainty for biochar supply chains and feedstock sourcing.

Transparency — 4.0 / 10

- + A named verifier (EnergyLink Services) and a defined monitoring period (2020-09-01 to 2022-05-31) are provided (verification report, 2023-03-07).
- The extracted record does not include claimed vs verified removal totals or key MRV parameters (e.g., usage monitoring approach), reducing transparency.

The verification report provides a clear monitoring period (2020-09-01 to 2022-05-31) and identifies the verifier as EnergyLink Services (verification report, 2023-03-07). At the same time, the extracted record does not include total removals claimed or verified, and does not capture key monitoring details such as how biochar use/end-use was monitored. With evidence documents listed as "unknown," it is hard for third parties to trace the underlying calculations and data sources.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

- + No material findings or corrective actions are reported in the verification report (2023-03-07).
- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in available documents, and core quantification items (baseline/leakage) are missing, increasing greenwashing/over-crediting risk.

No material findings and no corrective actions are reported in the verification report (2023-03-07), which reduces the likelihood of known non-conformities. Nonetheless, the absence of extracted figures for verified removals, plus missing baseline and leakage information, increases the risk that public claims could overstate climate impact. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in available documents, so buyers cannot easily assess alignment with higher-integrity eligibility frameworks from the extracted record alone.

Documentation — 4.6 / 10

- + A recent verification report dated 2023-03-07 is available, and three documents were used in extraction.
- Evidence document identification is weak (listed as "unknown") and extraction confidence is only medium, indicating documentation gaps.

A recent verification report dated 2023-03-07 is present, and the extraction used three documents with medium minimum extraction confidence. However, the evidence document list is not specific ("unknown"), and several core fields (additionality, baseline, leakage, safeguards) are not found in the extracted record, indicating incomplete documentation capture. The lack of a stated crediting period in the extracted record also limits assessment of recency and program boundaries.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced
● Permanence	Buffer pool stated; reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not evidenced
● Baseline	Baseline approach not evidenced
● Safeguards	Safeguards not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or extract the verification sections that specify the additionality test applied and the baseline approach (including any reassessment timing) and confirm they were validated by the verifier.

→ Disclose quantified leakage assessment (deduction and justification) and the verified total removals for the monitoring period, with clear traceability to underlying monitoring data and calculations.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2020_ECHO2HollaFresh.pdf
- Description_ECHO2.pdf
- Audit_2022_ECHO2HollaFresh.pdf
- Puro_Registration_ECHO2HollaFresh.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9DC74798 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy