

QUALITY REPORT

Soilfood-1-Finland

PUR-785725 · Puro · Finland

Report ID: CM-BB583A88 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.6 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	3.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.4
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This soil carbon project has a named third-party verifier and no reported material findings in the available issuance record, but key integrity elements (additionality, baseline approach, leakage, and permanence provisions) are not stated in the extracted documents. With major evidence gaps around how removals were quantified and safeguarded, the risk of over-crediting and weak claim defensibility is elevated.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-785725
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	Finland
Vintage	2020, 2021
Project Methodology	Pulp sludge based soil improver and carbon storage Methodology
VVB	DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether the VVB confirmed it are not stated in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated, limiting confidence in quantified removals.
- No leakage deduction or leakage justification is stated for the monitoring period.
- No buffer pool or reversal management information is stated for a soil carbon storage activity.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.2 / 10

+ The issuance record reports no material findings and no corrective actions required (issuance document dated 2022-12-12).

- Additionality, baseline method, leakage treatment, and permanence provisions (buffer/reversal handling) are not stated in the extracted record.

The issuance document (2022-12-12) lists no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for process quality. However, the extracted record does not state the additionality test used nor whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB. It also does not state the baseline method, any leakage deduction or justification, or any buffer/reversal provisions, which are central to soil carbon integrity.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31 (issuance document dated 2022-12-12).

- Claimed vs verified removals are not provided in the extracted record, and key MRV elements (e.g., usage monitoring method) are not stated.

The issuance document (2022-12-12) clearly specifies the monitoring period (2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31) and identifies the VVB. But the extracted record does not provide the claimed or verified total removals for that period, and it does not state the monitoring approach (e.g., how application/usage and carbon storage were monitored). The evidence document references are not specific, reducing auditability for external reviewers.

Claim Safety — 3.4 / 10

+ A specific methodology title is identified: "Pulp sludge based soil improver and carbon storage Methodology" (issuance document dated 2022-12-12).

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, and core quantification safeguards (baseline/leakage/permanence) are not evidenced in the extracted record.

Because the baseline method, leakage treatment, and permanence controls are not stated in the extracted record, there is a meaningful risk that credited removals could be overstated relative to a defensible counterfactual. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are also not stated, so buyers cannot easily position claims against common quality screens. The presence of a named methodology helps, but without versioning and quantification details, claim risk remains high.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ A VVB is named (DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) in the issuance record (2022-12-12).

- Only two documents were used and the evidence document list is not specific ("unknown"), leaving major gaps in traceability.

Documentation is partial: the extracted record includes an issuance document dated 2022-12-12 and names DNV as the VVB, with medium extraction confidence. However, only two documents were used and the evidence document list is effectively non-identifying ("unknown"), while many key fields (baseline, leakage, additionality, permanence, safeguards) are not stated. This limits the ability to independently verify quality claims from the extracted package.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced
● Permanence	Reversal/buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards only partially evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full monitoring report and verification statement showing the quantified removals (claimed and verified), uncertainty/QA-QC, and the monitoring approach used for soil carbon storage and application rates.

→ Disclose the additionality assessment, baseline method (and any reassessment), leakage assessment (including any deduction), and permanence provisions (buffer pool and reversal management) in publicly accessible project documentation.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2022_Soilfood-1-Finland.pdf
- Description_SoilFood.pdf
- Puro_Registration_Soilfood1Finland.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-BB583A88 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy