

QUALITY REPORT

Moelven Limtre, Agder

PUR-847673 · Puro · Norway

Report ID: CM-5ABEF397 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some integrity support from a VVB-verified additionality assessment (common practice) and no reported material findings in the verification report. However, key quantification elements for over-crediting risk (baseline approach, leakage treatment, and verified removals/ERR) were not found in the extracted record, limiting confidence in the credited impact.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-847673
Sector	biomass
Country	Norway
Vintage	2020, 2022
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Baseline approach for alternative materials credits was not found in the extracted record, making over-crediting risk hard to judge.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record despite being important for substitution/market effects in alternative materials claims.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report (2022-12-22) confirms additionality using a common-practice test and reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- Baseline method, leakage treatment, and any reversal-event reporting were not found in the extracted record, creating major uncertainty on credit robustness.

The verification report (2022-12-22) indicates additionality was assessed via a common-practice test and confirmed by the VVB, and it reports no material findings and no corrective actions. However, the baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record, which is central for alternative-materials substitution crediting. Leakage deduction and justification were also not found, and reversal-event information was not found, so the robustness of net credited impact cannot be fully evaluated from the available extracted facts.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ A named VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and a defined monitoring period (2020-12-01 to 2022-06-30) are provided in the verification record.

- Claimed vs verified ERR totals were not found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to reconcile issuance with measured performance.

Transparency is moderate because the VVB is identified (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and the monitoring period is clearly stated (2020-12-01—2022-06-30) in the verification record. At the same time, the extracted record does not include the claimed or verified ERR totals, preventing a basic reconciliation of what was measured versus what was credited. Methodology details are incomplete in the extracted record (methodology name not found, though version 2.7 is stated), which limits reproducibility of calculations.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ A buffer pool contribution of 10% is stated, which can reduce some non-permanence/invalidity risk depending on the program rules.

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, and key drivers of over-crediting (baseline and leakage) are not documented here.

Claim safety is constrained by missing information that would allow an external party to test for over-crediting: the baseline method and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record. A 10% buffer pool contribution is stated, which can improve resilience against certain risks depending on how the program applies buffers. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, so downstream claims about compliance-grade quality cannot be substantiated from these extracted facts.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

+ The extracted record includes a dated verification report (2022-12-22) with no material findings and no corrective actions required.

- Evidence document labeling is weak (listed as "unknown"), only two documents were used, and extraction confidence is only medium.

Documentation quality is mixed: there is a dated verification report (2022-12-22) and it indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required. However, the evidence document list is not specific ("unknown"), only two documents were used, and the minimum extraction confidence is medium, suggesting some limitations in document completeness/readability. Several core fields (baseline, leakage, ERR totals, safeguards specifics like FPIC and grievance mechanism) were not found in the extracted record.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed common-practice test
● Permanence	10% buffer stated; reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
● Baseline	Baseline method not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned; FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not evidenced

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish or provide the specific baseline approach and key parameters used for substitution accounting (including any baseline reassessment timing) so third parties can reproduce the credited impact.
- Disclose leakage assessment results (deduction percentage and justification) and report claimed vs verified ERR totals for the monitoring period to enable reconciliation and reduce over-crediting risk.

Documents Reviewed

- Agder.pdf
- Description_MoelvenLimtre.pdf
- Puro_Registration_MoelvenLimtreAgder.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5ABEF397 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy