

QUALITY REPORT

Premier Forest, Newport

PUR-916484 · Puro · United Kingdom

Report ID: CM-8AD3FE4D · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
■ Transparency (25%)	4.0
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
■ Documentation (15%)	4.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro.earth biochar removal project has some structural integrity support (a stated buffer pool contribution and a verification report with no material findings), but key quality-critical elements are missing from the extracted record. Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and quantified verified removals are not stated in the available documents, increasing over-crediting and claims risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-916484
Sector	biomass
Country	United Kingdom
Vintage	2021, 2022
Project Methodology	Puro.earth Biochar Methodology v2.2
Crediting Period	2020 — 2022
VVB	EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No additionality test or VVB confirmation of additionality found in the extracted record.
- No baseline method or baseline reassessment timing found in the extracted record.
- Leakage deduction and leakage justification are not stated in the available documents.
- Claimed vs verified removals are not available (no ERR figures found), limiting MRV transparency.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + The verification report (2022-03-16) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Additionality, baseline approach, and leakage treatment are not stated in the available documents.

The extracted record indicates a buffer pool contribution of 2.5%, which supports permanence risk management for a removal project, but no reversal events are reported in the extracted record. However, the verification report (2022-03-16) does not, in the extracted fields, provide an additionality test type or explicit VVB confirmation of additionality, and the baseline method and leakage treatment are also not stated in the available documents. With these core integrity elements missing, the risk of non-additional or over-credited removals cannot be ruled out despite the absence of material findings.

Transparency — 4.0 / 10

- + The monitoring period (2020-07-01 to 2021-12-31) and crediting period (2020-07-01 to 2022-09-30) are specified in the extracted record.
- Verified and claimed removal totals are not found in the extracted record, and key MRV parameters (e.g., usage monitoring method) are not stated.

The extracted record provides the VVB name (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd) and specifies the monitoring period (2020-07-01 to 2021-12-31) and crediting period (2020-07-01 to 2022-09-30). However, neither total claimed removals nor total verified removals are found in the extracted record, preventing a basic reconciliation of issuance against monitoring results. Key MRV descriptors such as the usage monitoring method and any usage rate assumptions/verification are also not stated in the available documents.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

- + The project is under a defined methodology (Puro.earth Biochar Methodology v2.2).
- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, and the absence of baseline/leakage/ERR figures increases greenwashing and over-crediting risk.

The project is tied to a specific standard and method (Puro.earth Biochar Methodology v2.2), which can reduce ambiguity about accounting rules. Still, the extracted record lacks the baseline method, leakage deduction/justification, and any claimed-versus-verified removal totals, all of which are central to assessing over-crediting risk for biochar systems. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the available documents, so buyers cannot easily position the credits against higher-integrity claim frameworks based on the extracted record alone.

Documentation — 4.6 / 10

- + A verification report dated 2022-03-16 is present, with no corrective actions required.
- Evidence document labeling is weak (evidence docs listed as "unknown"), and extraction confidence is only medium.

A verification report dated 2022-03-16 is present, and it reports no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for audit closure. At the same time, the evidence document list is not well specified (listed as "unknown"), and only three documents were used in extraction, suggesting limited coverage of the full project documentation set. Minimum extraction confidence is medium, indicating some remaining risk that key details were missed or not captured clearly.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced in extracted record
● Permanence	Buffer pool stated (2.5%) but reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC/grievance/safeguards not found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or extract the verification/monitoring tables showing total claimed and total verified removals for the monitoring period, including uncertainty and any deductions applied.

→ Disclose the additionality assessment (test type and VVB conclusion), baseline approach (including last reassessment), and leakage justification/deduction used under the Puro.earth methodology.

Documents Reviewed

- Description_PremierForest.pdf
- Newport.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8AD3FE4D · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy