QUALITY REPORT

Ekovilla 2 Kuusankoski

PUR-926954 · Puro · Finland

Report ID: CM-222B26A4 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.9 Overall Score out of 10	
Integrity (35%)	3.8
Transparency (25%)	4.2
Claim Safety (25%)	3.6
Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has a named third-party verifier and reports no material findings for the 2021 monitoring period, but key integrity elements (additionality, baseline, leakage) are not evidenced in the extracted record. A 10% buffer is noted, yet permanence/reversal and leakage treatment are not documented, increasing over-crediting and claims risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-926954
Sector	biomass
Country	Finland
Vintage	2021, 2022
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether the VVB confirmed it are not stated in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in available documents.
- Leakage deduction and justification are not stated, despite being important for robust crediting.
- CORSIA and CCP status are not stated, leaving double-claim/eligibility risk unclear.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.8 / 10

+ The verification report (2022-05-24) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.

- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and reversal history are not stated in the extracted record.

The verification report (2022-05-24) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which supports procedural integrity. However, the extracted record does not state the additionality test used, whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the baseline method, or any leakage deduction/justification. A 10% buffer is reported, but reversal events (or confirmation of none) are not stated, limiting confidence in permanence-related controls.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly specified as 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31 in the verification report (2022-05-24).

- Total emissions reductions claimed/verified and key MRV parameters (e.g., usage monitoring method) are not found in the extracted record.

The verification report (2022-05-24) clearly defines the monitoring period as 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31 and identifies the VVB. But the extracted record does not include total emissions reductions claimed or verified, nor the monitoring/usage measurement approach, which reduces the ability for third parties to reproduce or scrutinize results. Registry completeness is also limited because key eligibility/status fields are not stated.

Claim Safety — 3.6 / 10

+ A buffer pool contribution of 10% is reported in the extracted record, which can reduce non-delivery risk if reversals occur.

- Over-crediting risk cannot be evaluated because baseline, leakage, and quantified verified reductions are not stated in available documents.

Claims risk is elevated because the extracted record lacks the quantified verified emissions reductions and does not state the baseline and leakage approach, both central to over-crediting risk. The 10% buffer provides some risk mitigation in principle, but without reversal reporting and without clarity on what risks the buffer is meant to cover, it is hard to rely on. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving uncertainty about how credits might be marketed and whether buyers could misinterpret eligibility.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ A specific VVB is identified (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and the document is dated 2022-05-24.

- Evidence document labeling is weak (listed as "unknown"), only two documents were used, and extraction confidence is only medium.

Documentation is partial: there is a dated verification report (2022-05-24) and a named VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab), but the evidence document list is recorded as "unknown" and only two documents were used in extraction. Several core fields (methodology, baseline, additionality, leakage, quantified ERs) are not found in the extracted record. Medium extraction confidence suggests some risk that key details were missed or not readable, warranting a conservative score.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	Additionality not evidenced
Permanence	Buffer noted; reversals not documented
Leakage	Leakage treatment not documented
Baseline	Baseline method not stated
Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not documented
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or provide the underlying methodology/baseline documentation and explicitly state the additionality test and the VVB’s conclusion on additionality.

→ Disclose quantified emissions reductions (claimed and verified) for the 2021 period, including monitoring/usage measurement methods and any leakage assessment with justification and deductions.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2022_Ekovilla2Kuusankoski.pdf
- Audit_2021_Ekovilla2Kuusankoski.pdf
- Description_Ekovilla.pdf
- Puro_Registration_Ekovilla2Kuusankoski.pdf

Report ID: CM-222B26A4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy