

QUALITY REPORT

Noritec, Stall im Mölltal, Austria

PUR-928755 · Puro · Austria

Report ID: CM-6F2CCCBF · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.9

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	3.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has third-party verification by bio.inspecta AG and no material findings or corrective actions reported in the verification record. However, key integrity and MRV elements (additionality test, baseline approach, leakage treatment, permanence/reversal provisions, and quantified removals) were not found in the extracted record, which increases over-crediting and claim-risk uncertainty.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-928755
Sector	biomass
Country	Austria
Vintage	2021
Project Methodology	Puro methodology
VVB	bio.inspecta AG
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No quantified claimed or verified removals were found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to assess over-crediting risk.
- Additionality approach and whether it was confirmed by the VVB were not found in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.8 / 10

- + The verification report (2022-05-31) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Additionality, baseline, leakage, and reversal/buffer provisions were not found in the extracted record, creating major integrity uncertainty.

The verification report (2022-05-31) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for process quality. However, the extracted record does not include the additionality test type or confirmation of additionality by the VVB, nor does it include the baseline method or when it was last reassessed. Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) and permanence controls (buffer pool percentage or any reversal events) were also not found in the extracted record, so integrity cannot be robustly assessed and is scored conservatively.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The extracted record identifies the VVB (bio.inspecta AG) and a defined monitoring period (2020-07-01 to 2021-12-31).
- Key MRV outputs (claimed vs verified removals) and monitoring details (e.g., usage monitoring method) were not found in the extracted record.

The extracted record provides the VVB name (bio.inspecta AG) and a clear monitoring period (2020-07-01—2021-12-31), which supports basic traceability. But the extracted record does not provide total removals claimed or verified, nor does it specify the monitoring/usage tracking method, limiting independent scrutiny of MRV. Registry and eligibility fields (e.g., CORSIA) are also not stated in available documents, reducing transparency for end users.

Claim Safety — 3.6 / 10

- + No material findings were reported in the verification report (2022-05-31), which modestly reduces misstatement risk.
- CORSIA/CCP status and core quantification inputs (baseline/leakage) were not found in the extracted record, increasing greenwashing and over-crediting risk.

Because the extracted record lacks the claimed and verified removal totals, it is not possible to check for discrepancies or quantify over-crediting risk. Baseline and leakage approaches are not stated in available documents, which are central to defensible claims under carbon credit quality expectations. CCP status and CORSIA eligibility are also not found in the extracted record, so buyers face uncertainty about how the credit can be marketed and whether claims could be misinterpreted.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + A dated verification report is present (2022-05-31) and two documents were used with medium extraction confidence.
- Evidence document labeling is incomplete (listed as "unknown"), and many key fields are not stated in available documents.

A verification report dated 2022-05-31 is present and the VVB is identified, which supports minimum documentation expectations. However, the evidence document list is not specific (shown as "unknown"), and many critical fields (additionality, baseline, leakage, permanence provisions, quantified outcomes) were not found in the extracted record. With only two documents used and medium extraction confidence, documentation completeness is moderate-to-weak.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality assessment not found
● Permanence	Reversal/buffer provisions not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not evidenced
● Baseline	Baseline method not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or extract the verification statement tables showing total removals claimed and total removals verified for the 2020-07-01—2021-12-31 monitoring period.

→ Provide the documented additionality assessment (test type and VVB conclusion), baseline definition (and any reassessment timing), and leakage justification/deduction used under the applicable Puro methodology version.

Documents Reviewed

- Description_Noritec.pdf
- lltal.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-6F2CCCBF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy