

QUALITY REPORT

Wakefield Biochar Facility 3

PUR-939902 · PUR · United States

Report ID: CM-557F1D3C · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.6
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The verification report confirms additionality and reports no material findings, but key quantification elements (baseline approach, leakage treatment, and verified removals) are not stated in the extracted record. Numerous corrective actions and carry-forward recommendations indicate MRV and data-quality weaknesses that increase over-crediting risk for a biochar removal project.

Project Details

Registry	PUR
Registry ID	PUR-939902
Sector	biochar
Country	United States
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 v3 v3
VVB	EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2025
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Verified removals (total verified ERR) and claimed removals are not stated in the extracted record, limiting confidence in credit quantity.
- Leakage deduction and justification are not stated in the extracted record for a project type where upstream/downstream leakage can be material.
- Multiple corrective actions and carry-forward recommendations (mass measurement, diesel records, LCA boundary, sales records, moisture estimation) suggest ongoing MRV weaknesses.
- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable and increasing the chance of missed or misread critical parameters.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.6 / 10

+ The verification report (2025-12-17) confirms additionality and reports no material findings.

- Baseline approach and leakage treatment are not stated in the extracted record, and the verification report (2025-12-17) lists many corrective actions affecting core quantification inputs (e.g., LCA boundary, diesel, moisture, mass measurement).

The verification report (2025-12-17) indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB and reports no material findings, which supports basic project integrity. However, the baseline approach is not stated in the extracted record, and leakage deduction and justification are also not stated, leaving major integrity determinants unsubstantiated. The verification report (2025-12-17) includes numerous corrective actions and carry-forward recommendations (e.g., LCA boundary, diesel consumption, electricity allocation, moisture estimation, mass measurement), which suggests quantification inputs have been unstable or inconsistently evidenced.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ A named VVB (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd) and a defined monitoring period (2024-10-01—2025-09-30) are provided in the verification report (2025-12-17).

- Claimed vs verified removals are not stated in the extracted record, and low extraction confidence reduces confidence in completeness of public-facing MRV details.

The verification report (2025-12-17) provides a clear monitoring period (2024-10-01—2025-09-30) and identifies the VVB as EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd. But the extracted record does not state claimed removals or verified removals, preventing a basic cross-check of issuance quantities against verification outcomes. Low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that all MRV-relevant details were captured from the underlying documents.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

+ Independent verification is indicated by the verification report (2025-12-17) and no material findings are reported.

- Over-crediting risk remains elevated because key drivers (baseline method, leakage deduction/justification, and verified ERR) are not stated in the extracted record and several corrective actions relate directly to emissions/removals accounting (LCA boundary, diesel, electricity allocation).

This is a removal project using the Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 v3, but the extracted record does not state the baseline method, leakage treatment, or verified ERR, which increases the risk that credits could be overstated relative to what a buyer expects. The verification report (2025-12-17) lists multiple corrective actions tied to core accounting elements (diesel, electricity allocation, LCA boundary, sales data in LCA), which can materially affect net removals. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, so buyers cannot rely on those labels to reduce greenwashing or eligibility risk.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ Seven documents were used and the verification report is recent (2025-12-17).

- Minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence document list is not specific (listed as “unknown”), reducing auditability and completeness.

Documentation is mixed: the verification report is recent (2025-12-17) and the extraction references seven documents, but the evidence document list is not specific (shown as “unknown”). Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable, which raises the chance that important parameters (e.g., leakage, baseline, ERR totals) were missed. The presence of many corrective actions in the verification report (2025-12-17) also suggests documentation and record-keeping systems are still being strengthened.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	Reversal/buffer details not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned but details missing
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Disclose and clearly document the baseline approach, leakage assessment (including any deduction), and the claimed vs verified net removals for the monitoring period, with traceable references to the verification/monitoring reports.
- Close out carry-forward recommendations and strengthen MRV controls for mass measurement, moisture determination, diesel/electricity records, and LCA boundary/sales data inclusion, then reflect these changes in the next verification package.

Documents Reviewed

- Report_MP3.pdf
- Statement_MP3.pdf
- Audit_2025_WakefieldBiochar3_statement.pdf
- Audit_2025_WakefieldBiochar3_report.pdf
- Puro_registration_WakefieldBiocharFacility3.pdf
- Description_WakefieldBiocharFacility3.pdf
- Audit_2023_WakefieldBiocharFacility3_Statement.pdf
- Audit_2023_WakefieldBiocharFacility3_Report.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-557F1D3C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

[carbonmeld.com](#) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](#) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](#)