QUALITY REPORT

Hjelmsäters Egendom

PUR-984723 · Puro · Sweden

Report ID: CM-1319207B · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	5.6
	Transparency (25%)	4.2
	Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has some integrity positives, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings in the verification report (2023). However, key quantifications that underpin credit quality—verified removals, baseline approach, and leakage treatment—were not found in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting and claims risk. Low extraction confidence and limited identifiable source documents further reduce confidence in the available evidence.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-984723
Sector	biomass
Country	Sweden
Vintage	2022, 2023
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology 3.1
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Verified removals (total verified ERR) were not found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to assess whether issued credits match measured outcomes.
- Baseline approach and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record, making over-crediting risk harder to evaluate.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record for a biomass/biochar system where upstream/downstream leakage can be material.
- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable and weakening evidentiary reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ The verification report (2023) confirms additionality using an investment test and reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- Baseline approach and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record, limiting assessment of over-crediting and system boundary completeness.

The verification report (2023) confirms additionality via an investment test and indicates no material findings and no corrective actions, which supports basic program integrity. A buffer pool contribution of 2.5% is reported, but no reversal events were found in the extracted record, so permanence performance cannot be confirmed. Baseline approach and baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record, and leakage deduction/justification were also not found, both of which are important for biochar system integrity.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The verification report (2023) identifies the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and specifies a monitoring period (2021-10-01 to 2023-05-31).

- Claimed vs verified removals were not found in the extracted record, and low extraction confidence reduces MRV transparency.

The verification report (2023) provides a clear monitoring period (2021-10-01 to 2023-05-31) and names the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab). However, the extracted record does not include claimed or verified total removals, preventing a basic reconciliation of monitoring results to credited outcomes. Minimum extraction confidence is low, which reduces confidence that all relevant MRV details were captured accurately.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ CCP status is listed as eligible, which can support higher-quality claims if substantiated by complete public documentation.

- CORSIA eligibility was not found in the extracted record and key quantifications (verified removals, leakage) are missing, increasing greenwashing/over-crediting risk.

CCP status is listed as eligible, which can reduce reputational risk if the underlying evidence is complete and consistent. Still, the extracted record lacks key quantitative anchors for safe claims—verified removals, leakage treatment, and baseline method—raising the risk of overstating climate impact. CORSIA eligibility was not found in the extracted record, so buyers cannot rely on aviation-eligibility signaling either way.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ A dated verification report is present (2023-09-13) with a named VVB and no reported corrective actions.

- Evidence documents are not clearly identified (listed as "unknown") and minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating documentation gaps/legibility issues.

A verification report dated 2023-09-13 is present and includes the VVB identity, and it reports no corrective actions, which is a documentation positive. At the same time, the evidence document list is not specific (shown as "unknown"), and minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key source was hard to read and increasing the chance of missing or mis-extracted details. Several important fields (baseline, leakage, ERR totals, grievance mechanism) were not found in the extracted record, suggesting incomplete accessible documentation for due diligence.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	Buffer pool stated (2.5%), reversals not evidenced
Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
Baseline	Baseline approach not found
Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned, key elements not evidenced
Double-claim	CCP-eligible; CORSIA status not evidenced

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or provide the quantified monitoring/verification results (claimed and verified removals) and the calculation workbook so credits can be reconciled to measured outcomes.

→ Disclose the baseline approach and leakage assessment (including any deduction and justification) and document safeguards implementation (FPIC/grievance mechanism) in accessible project documentation.

Documents Reviewed

- tersEgendom.pdf
- tersEgendom_report.pdf
- tersEgendom_statement.pdf

Report ID: CM-1319207B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy