

QUALITY REPORT

Eastview Ag

TC-1975 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-748E7EDE · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	3.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some integrity support because the VVB confirmed additionality and the verification report reported no material findings. However, key baseline, leakage, permanence, and safeguard details are not stated in the available record, which limits confidence in the crediting claim. Transparency is weak because the extracted documents do not provide the core MRV figures needed to assess over-crediting risk.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-1975
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- Baseline method, leakage treatment, and buffer pool information are not stated in the available documents.
- No verified error totals, usage monitoring details, or safeguard documentation were found in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report.
- Baseline method, leakage deduction, and buffer pool coverage are not stated in the available documents.

The verification report from Control Union Malaysia confirms additionality, which is a positive sign for project integrity. That said, the available record does not state the baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool percentage, or any reversal history, so permanence and baseline robustness cannot be well assessed. No material findings or corrective actions were reported, which helps, but the evidence remains incomplete.

Transparency — 3.2 / 10

- The extracted record does not state the claimed or verified emission reduction totals, limiting MRV transparency.
- ~ The monitoring period is identified as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31, but the core monitoring methodology is not found in the available documents.

Transparency is limited because the extracted record does not provide the claimed or verified emission reduction totals, nor does it state the monitoring or usage methodology. The monitoring period is clear, but the absence of core MRV figures makes it difficult to evaluate whether the reported removals are fully supported. The medium extraction confidence also suggests at least one key document was not perfectly readable.

Claim Safety — 3.6 / 10

- No baseline method, leakage justification, or usage-rate evidence is available to test over-crediting risk.
- ~ The registry status is not stated as CORSIA-eligible or CCP-approved, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

Claim safety is weak because the record does not state the baseline method, leakage treatment, or any verified usage-rate information. Without those inputs, the risk of over-crediting cannot be checked properly. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are also not stated, so the possibility of broader market-claim ambiguity remains unresolved.

Documentation — 4.4 / 10

- + Two documents were used, including a verification report dated 2025-05-16 from Control Union Malaysia.
- The extraction confidence is only medium and several key fields are not stated, including methodology, baseline, and safeguard details.

Documentation quality is modest: two documents were used, including a verification report dated 2025-05-16, and the VVB is identified. However, the extracted record leaves many core fields blank, including methodology, baseline, leakage, safeguards, and emission totals. The medium extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the available documentation is complete.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal data stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed in extracted record
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards documentation found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the full baseline, leakage, and permanence documentation, including any buffer pool or reversal treatment.
- Disclose verified emission reduction totals, monitoring methodology, and safeguard evidence such as FPIC and grievance procedures.

Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-748E7EDE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy