

## QUALITY REPORT

# Grain Pedigree Seed Farm

TC-1981 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-96093DD6 · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 2.0

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	2.4
■ Transparency (25%)	1.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
■ Documentation (15%)	2.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The available verification record is too sparse to support a strong quality assessment. Key elements such as additionality, baseline method, leakage treatment, and permanence safeguards are not stated in the extracted documents, so the project carries substantial uncertainty and claim risk.

## Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-1981
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

## Red Flags

- No additionality test or VVB-confirmed additionality is stated in the available record.
- Baseline method, leakage treatment, buffer pool, and reversal information are all not found in the extracted documents.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 2.4 / 10

- Additionality is not demonstrated in the extracted verification record, and no test type or VVB confirmation is stated.
- Baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool, and reversal history are not found in the available documents.

The verification report for the 2024 monitoring period does not state any additionality test, VVB-confirmed additionality, baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool, or reversal events. With no material findings reported, there is no direct evidence of non-compliance, but the absence of core integrity evidence keeps the score very low.

### Transparency — 1.1 / 10

- The monitoring period is stated for 2024, but the extracted record does not provide total credits claimed or verified.
- ~ The verification report names Control Union Malaysia, but key MRV details such as usage monitoring and baseline reassessment are not stated.

Transparency is weak because the extracted record does not include total ERR claimed or verified, usage monitoring method, baseline reassessment timing, or other core MRV details. The report does identify Control Union Malaysia and a 2024 monitoring period, which provides some traceability, but not enough for robust public scrutiny.

### Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- Over-crediting risk is elevated because the baseline approach, leakage treatment, and verified emission/removal figures are not available.
- ~ CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

Claim safety is limited by the lack of quantified issuance and baseline information, which makes over-crediting difficult to rule out. Leakage treatment is not stated, and both CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are absent, so the project cannot be treated as clearly low-risk for market claims.

### Documentation — 2.8 / 10

- + Two source documents were used, including a verification report dated 2025-05-16.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the record lacks many core fields needed for a complete assessment.

Documentation quality is constrained by the small evidence base: only two documents are listed, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than high. The verification report is recent, but the absence of key fields such as methodology, crediting period, and safeguards documentation leaves major gaps.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	No additionality evidence found
● <b>Permanence</b>	Reversal risk not documented
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment not stated
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline method not stated
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Safeguards not documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full validation and verification package showing the additionality test, baseline methodology, leakage assessment, and any buffer or reversal provisions.

→ Publish the issued and verified credit quantities, plus explicit statements on CORSIA eligibility, CCP status, and project safeguards such as FPIC and grievance mechanisms.

## Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-96093DD6 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy