

QUALITY REPORT

Midwest Hemp Technology

TC-1990 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-32F510E5 · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

2.0 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	2.4
	■ Transparency (25%)	1.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	2.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has very limited verifiable information in the extracted record, with no baseline method, additionality test, leakage treatment, or permanence safeguards stated. The verification report shows no material findings, but the absence of core methodological details makes the crediting claim difficult to assess confidently.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-1990
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- No baseline method, additionality test, or leakage treatment is stated in the available documents.
- No buffer pool, reversal history, or safeguard documentation is found in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.4 / 10

+ The verification report reports no material findings and no corrective actions were required.

- Core integrity elements such as additionality, baseline design, leakage treatment, and permanence safeguards are not stated in the available documents.

The verification report dated 2025-05-16 reports no material findings and no corrective actions, which is a modest positive. However, the extracted record does not state any additionality test, baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool, or reversal history, so the core integrity case is weak and largely unsubstantiated from the available documents.

Transparency — 1.1 / 10

+ A named VVB, Control Union Malaysia, is identified and the monitoring period is clearly stated as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31.

- The extracted record does not provide claimed or verified ERR totals, usage monitoring details, or registry eligibility status.

Transparency is limited because the record identifies the verifier, Control Union Malaysia, and the monitoring period for 2024, but does not provide the claimed or verified emission removal totals. Monitoring methodology, usage monitoring, and registry eligibility details are also not found in the extracted record, leaving the public audit trail incomplete.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- The baseline approach is not stated, so over-crediting risk cannot be checked against a defined reference scenario.

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, and leakage treatment is also absent from the record.

Claim safety is low because the baseline method is not stated, making it hard to judge whether removals are conservative or potentially over-credited. Leakage treatment is also absent, and neither CORSIA eligibility nor CCP status is stated, so the project cannot be treated as clearly low-risk for greenwashing or double-claim concerns.

Documentation — 2.8 / 10

+ The record includes a recent verification report dated 2025-05-16 and identifies two source documents used.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and key fields remain missing across the record, limiting documentary completeness.

Documentation quality is weak to moderate: there is a recent verification report and two source documents were used, but the extracted record is sparse on the most important technical fields. The medium extraction confidence suggests the documents were readable overall, yet the missing baseline, additionality, leakage, and safeguard information materially limits confidence in the file set.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality test found
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal data stated
● Leakage	No leakage treatment stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full validation and monitoring methodology, including the baseline approach, additionality test, leakage treatment, and any permanence or buffer provisions.

→ Disclose verified issuance figures, registry status, safeguard documentation, and explicit CORSIA/CCP eligibility to support claim safety and transparency.

Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-32F510E5 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy