

QUALITY REPORT

Dakota Hemp

TC-2001 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-E939E4EB · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

2.3

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	2.4
■ Transparency (25%)	2.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has a verification report and no material findings were reported, but the extracted record lacks the core evidence needed to judge additionality, baseline validity, leakage treatment, and permanence. Because the available documents do not state key methodological details and no quantified issuance or verification totals are present, the claim is hard to assess and should be treated cautiously.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-2001
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- No additionality test or VVB-confirmed additionality is stated in the available documents.
- Baseline method, leakage treatment, buffer pool, and reversal-risk handling are not stated in the extracted record.
- No verified or claimed emission removal totals are available, limiting assessment of over-crediting risk.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.4 / 10

- + The verification report from Control Union Malaysia reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Key integrity elements are not stated in available documents, including the additionality test, baseline method, leakage deduction, and buffer pool.

The verification report by Control Union Malaysia states no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a modest positive. However, the extracted record does not state any additionality test, VVB-confirmed additionality, baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool, or reversal-event handling, so the integrity case is weak and largely unsubstantiated from the available documents.

Transparency — 2.1 / 10

- + A specific monitoring period is identified for 2024 and the verification report is dated 2025-05-16.
- The record does not state verified or claimed ERR totals, monitoring methodology, or registry eligibility details such as CORSIA or CCP status.

Transparency is limited because the record provides only the monitoring period and the verification report date, while omitting the verified and claimed ERR totals, monitoring method, and registry status details. The presence of a named verifier helps, but the absence of core MRV figures and eligibility information makes public scrutiny difficult.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- The baseline approach is not stated, so the risk of an inflated baseline cannot be checked.
- No leakage justification, usage monitoring method, or verified issuance totals are available, which raises over-crediting and greenwashing risk.

Claim safety is low because the baseline method is not stated and there is no leakage treatment or usage monitoring method to test whether credits are conservative. With no verified or claimed issuance totals and no CORSIA or CCP status, the risk of over-crediting or weak climate claims remains unresolved.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + Two evidence documents were used, including a validation report and an issuance document.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the record is missing many core fields that would normally support a robust assessment.

Documentation quality is only moderate: two evidence documents were used, and the verification report is dated 2025-05-16 for a 2024 monitoring period. Still, the extraction confidence is medium and many essential fields are missing, so the documentary basis is incomplete for a high-confidence assessment.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality evidence found
● Permanence	Reversal risk not documented
● Leakage	No leakage treatment stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full baseline and additionality documentation, including the test used, assumptions, and VVB confirmation.

→ Disclose verified and claimed issuance totals, leakage treatment, buffer or reversal provisions, and registry eligibility status.

Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E939E4EB · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy