

QUALITY REPORT

Feistner Farms

TC-2030 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-FD9B2942 · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
■ Transparency (25%)	3.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
■ Documentation (15%)	4.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals because the VVB confirmed additionality and the verification report reported no material findings. However, key baseline, leakage, permanence, and safeguard details are not stated in the available record, which limits confidence in the claimed removals. Overall, this is a moderately weak file with several important evidence gaps rather than a clearly high-quality crediting case.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-2030
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- No baseline method, leakage treatment, or buffer pool information is stated in the available documents.
- Registry and eligibility status are not stated, so double-claim and market-use risk cannot be ruled out.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report dated 2025-05-16.

- Baseline method, leakage deduction, and buffer pool treatment are not stated in the available documents.

The verification report for "Verification Of Net Ecosystem Exchange (Nee) Monitoring at System-Level of Hemp Carbon Standard Fields Using Carbon Space Technology Version 1.1" states that additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and it reports no material findings. That supports the project somewhat on integrity. However, the baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool, and reversal information are not stated in the available documents, so permanence and baseline robustness remain weakly evidenced.

Transparency — 3.4 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31, and the verification report is identified by name and date.

- Total verified emissions removals, usage monitoring, and eligibility status are not stated, limiting public MRV clarity.

The monitoring period is clearly identified as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31, and the VVB is named as Control Union Malaysia. Beyond that, the record does not state verified or claimed ERR totals, usage monitoring method, or eligibility status, which makes the MRV trail incomplete. The medium extraction confidence also suggests the documentation may not be fully legible or complete.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

+ No material findings were reported in the verification report, which reduces immediate over-crediting concern.

- The absence of baseline, leakage, and eligibility details leaves residual risk that the claimed removals are not fully robust.

Claim safety is constrained by missing core accounting details. The report does not state a baseline method, leakage justification, or any verified versus claimed removal totals, so over-crediting risk cannot be well bounded. Because CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, the project cannot be treated as clearly excluded from dual-market claim risk.

Documentation — 4.6 / 10

+ Two document types were used, including a verification report and supporting validation/issuance records.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and several core fields are not stated in the available record.

Documentation is only moderate because two document sources were used, but the extracted record still leaves many key fields unstated. The report date is recent, which helps, but the medium extraction confidence lowers trust in completeness. No corrective actions were required, which is positive, but the file still lacks several items needed for a strong documentation score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal or buffer evidence stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards evidence found
● Double-claim	Eligibility status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish the baseline methodology, leakage treatment, and any buffer or reversal provisions used for the 2024 monitoring period.
- Disclose verified versus claimed removal totals, plus explicit CORSIA and CCP eligibility status in the registry record.

Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-FD9B2942 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

[carbonmeld.com](#) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](#) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](#)