

QUALITY REPORT

Berrybrook Organic Farms

TC-2037 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-C4B600DF · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	3.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The verification report shows third-party confirmation of additionality, but many core methodological details are not stated in the extracted record. With no baseline method, leakage treatment, buffer pool, or reversal information available, the project's integrity and claim safety are only moderate. Transparency is weak because key MRV and registry details are missing, though the documentation is not unusable.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-2037
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- No baseline method, leakage treatment, or buffer pool information was found in the available documents.
- No total verified or claimed issuance figures were extracted, limiting assessment of over-crediting risk and registry transparency.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + The verification report confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, Control Union Malaysia.
- Baseline method, leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, and reversal history are not stated in the extracted record.

The verification report confirms additionality was reviewed by the VVB, which is a positive sign for project integrity. However, the extracted record does not state the baseline method, any leakage deduction or justification, buffer pool coverage, or any reversal events, so permanence and baseline robustness cannot be well assessed. No material findings or corrective actions were reported, but the absence of key methodological details keeps the score only moderate.

Transparency — 3.2 / 10

- + A named VVB and a defined monitoring period from 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31 are available.
- Key MRV and issuance fields, including verified and claimed ERR totals, are not found in the available documents.

Transparency is limited because the record does not provide verified or claimed issuance totals, leakage treatment, baseline details, or usage monitoring information. The presence of a named verifier, Control Union Malaysia, and a clear monitoring period helps, but these are not enough to offset the missing MRV specifics. With several core fields not found in available documents, the disclosure quality remains weak.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

- + Third-party verification by Control Union Malaysia provides some support for the claim.
- Missing baseline, leakage, and issuance data leave meaningful uncertainty about over-crediting and claim robustness.

Claim safety is constrained by the lack of baseline, leakage, and issuance data, which are central to judging over-crediting risk. The VVB confirmation of additionality helps, but without a stated baseline method or quantified leakage treatment, the risk of overstated climate claims remains material. No contradictions were reported, but the evidence base is too thin to support a high-confidence claim.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

- + Three documents were used, including a verification report, validation report, and issuance record.
- The extracted record is incomplete on several core fields, and no corrective actions or material findings context is available beyond empty lists.

Documentation quality is mixed: three documents were used, including a verification report, validation report, and issuance record, and the extraction confidence is medium. That said, many important fields are not stated in the extracted record, including the baseline method, leakage treatment, and issuance totals. The absence of material findings and corrective actions is positive, but the overall documentation remains incomplete.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal or buffer data stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards evidence found
● Double-claim	Registry eligibility status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full baseline methodology, including any reassessment history and the rationale for the chosen baseline.

→ Disclose verified and claimed issuance totals, leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, and any reversal or safeguard documentation.

Documents Reviewed

- 18 Jun 2025 Retirement Certificate
- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C4B600DF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy