

QUALITY REPORT

# Veenstra Enterprises Ltd

TC-2043 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-048CDE50 · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>2.0</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>2.1</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>1.4</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>2.0</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>2.8</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The available verification record is very thin on the core quality checks needed to support a strong carbon claim. Additionality, baseline design, leakage treatment, and permanence safeguards are not stated in the extracted documents, so the project carries substantial uncertainty and over-crediting risk. The VVB is named and no material findings were reported, but that is not enough to offset the many missing fundamentals.

## Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-2043
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

## Red Flags

- No baseline method, additionality test, or leakage treatment is stated in the extracted verification record.
- No buffer pool, reversal history, or safeguard documentation is found, and registry eligibility for CORSIA or CCP is not stated.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 2.1 / 10

+ The verification report was issued by Control Union Malaysia and reports no material findings.

- Key integrity elements are not stated in available documents, including additionality, baseline method, leakage treatment, and permanence protections.

The verification report from Control Union Malaysia reports no material findings, which is a modest positive. However, the extracted record does not state any additionality test, baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool, or reversal history, so the core integrity case is largely unsubstantiated in the available documents. With so many missing elements, integrity remains weak.

### Transparency — 1.4 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31, and the VVB is identified.

- Claimed versus verified issuance figures, monitoring methods, and registry eligibility details are not found in the extracted record.

Transparency is limited because the record only clearly provides the monitoring period and the VVB name. Claimed and verified ERR totals are not found, and the monitoring approach, usage monitoring method, and registry eligibility are also not stated. That leaves the public evidence trail incomplete.

### Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

+ The project is a removal project, which can reduce some baseline-emissions risk relative to avoidance projects.

- The absence of baseline, leakage, and eligibility information leaves substantial over-crediting and greenwashing risk.

Claim safety is low because the project's baseline logic, leakage treatment, and eligibility status are not documented in the extracted record. Even though this is a removal project, the lack of quantified safeguards and issuance reconciliation makes the risk of overstated climate claims difficult to rule out. No CCP or CORSIA status is stated, so channel-risk cannot be reduced.

### Documentation — 2.8 / 10

+ Two official documents were used, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.

- The extracted record is sparse on the key technical and safeguard fields needed for a robust assessment.

Documentation quality is weak to moderate: two official documents were used and the extraction confidence is medium, but the record is missing many of the fields needed for a full assessment. The verification report date is recent, yet the crediting period is not stated and no corrective actions are listed. The absence of key technical details materially limits confidence.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	No additionality assessment found
● <b>Permanence</b>	No buffer or reversal evidence stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment not stated
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline method not stated
● <b>Safeguards</b>	No safeguards documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full validation and verification package showing the additionality test, baseline methodology, leakage assessment, and any buffer or reversal provisions.

→ Publish the issuance reconciliation and registry status, including verified versus claimed ER totals and explicit CORSIA/CCP eligibility.

## Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-048CDE50 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)