

QUALITY REPORT

Wandarra

TC-2047 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-D81948EF · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
	■ Transparency (25%)	3.2
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The verification report shows third-party validation and no material findings, which supports some confidence in the project's integrity. However, key baseline, leakage, permanence, and crediting details are not stated in the extracted record, so the evidence base is thin and claim safety is only moderate.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-2047
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- No baseline method, leakage treatment, or buffer pool information was found in the extracted documents.
- The record does not state total verified or claimed emission reductions, limiting assessment of over-crediting risk.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the verification report reports no material findings.
- Baseline method, leakage treatment, and buffer pool coverage are not stated in available documents.

The verification report from Control Union Malaysia confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, and it reports no material findings or required corrective actions. That supports the project's integrity somewhat, but the extracted record does not state the baseline method, leakage deduction, buffer pool percentage, or any reversal history, so permanence and baseline robustness remain weakly evidenced.

Transparency — 3.2 / 10

- + A named verifier is identified, and the monitoring period is clearly stated as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31.
- The extracted record does not state verified or claimed ERR totals, usage monitoring, or any registry completeness details.

Transparency is limited because the record does identify the verifier and monitoring period, but it does not provide verified or claimed ERR totals, usage monitoring details, or registry completeness information. The extraction confidence is medium rather than high, which further limits confidence in the completeness of the public record.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

- + Third-party verification with no material findings reduces some risk of unsupported claims.
- No baseline, leakage, or quantified issuance figures are available, so over-crediting risk cannot be well bounded.

Claim safety is only moderate because the VVB confirmation and absence of material findings are positive, but the record lacks the core quantitative checks needed to judge over-crediting risk. In particular, no baseline method, leakage justification, or verified issuance totals are available, and CORSIA or CCP status is not stated.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

- + The record includes a verification report, a validation report, and issuance-related evidence, with medium extraction confidence.
- Several core fields are missing, and the monitoring period is more recent than the absent crediting-period information.

Documentation quality is mixed: there are three evidence documents referenced, including a verification report, validation report, and issuance evidence, and the extraction confidence is medium. Even so, many key fields are missing from the extracted record, including baseline, leakage, permanence, and issuance quantities, which weakens the overall documentation score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal data stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed in extracted record
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards evidence found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the baseline methodology, leakage treatment, buffer pool arrangement, and any reversal monitoring details in a single accessible monitoring package.

→ Disclose verified and claimed ERR totals, plus registry and eligibility status, so over-crediting and double-claim risk can be assessed directly.

Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 26 Sep 2025 Retirement Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D81948EF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy