

QUALITY REPORT

Krolevetska Reproductive Agrarian Initiative

TC-2916 · Hemp Carbon Standard

Report ID: CM-DFE45804 · Generated: 2026-04-12 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

1.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	1.8
■ Transparency (25%)	0.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	1.4
■ Documentation (15%)	2.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The available verification record is too sparse to support a strong quality assessment. Key items needed to judge additionality, baseline validity, leakage treatment, and permanence are not stated in the extracted documents, so the project carries substantial uncertainty and claim risk.

Project Details

Registry	Hemp Carbon Standard
Registry ID	TC-2916
Sector	other
Vintage	Recent
VVB	Control Union Malaysia
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-12

Red Flags

- No additionality test, baseline method, or leakage treatment is stated in the available verification record.
- No buffer pool, reversal history, or safeguards documentation is found in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 1.8 / 10

~ The verification report is from Control Union Malaysia and covers the 2024 monitoring period, but it does not state any additionality test or baseline method.

- No leakage deduction, buffer pool, or reversal information is found, leaving permanence and leakage treatment unsubstantiated.

The verification report by Control Union Malaysia covers the 2024 monitoring period, but it does not state an additionality test, baseline method, or any reassessment timing. Leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, and reversal history are also not found in the extracted record, so the project's environmental integrity cannot be robustly verified from the available documents.

Transparency — 0.2 / 10

~ The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31 and the VVB is named, which helps basic traceability.

- The extracted record does not provide claimed versus verified issuance totals, usage monitoring, or registry completeness details.

The record is at least traceable to a named verifier and a defined monitoring period, which is a modest positive. However, the extracted documents do not provide claimed versus verified issuance totals, usage monitoring method, or registry completeness details, so public-facing MRV transparency appears weak.

Claim Safety — 1.4 / 10

- Baseline, leakage, and usage assumptions are not stated, so over-crediting risk cannot be checked.

~ The registry code is present, but neither CORSIA eligibility nor CCP status is stated, so dual-market claim risk remains unresolved.

Because the baseline method, leakage justification, and usage assumptions are not stated, the risk of over-crediting cannot be meaningfully bounded. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are also not stated, so the project cannot be treated as clearly excluded from dual-market claim risk.

Documentation — 2.4 / 10

~ Two documents were used, including a validation report and issuance record, but the extracted evidence is still thin.

- No material findings or corrective actions are reported, yet the extraction confidence is only medium and many key fields are missing.

The extracted set includes two documents, a validation report and an issuance record, but the usable content is limited. No material findings or corrective actions are reported, yet the extraction confidence is only medium and many core fields are missing, which lowers confidence in the documentation package.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality test stated
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal data stated
● Leakage	No leakage treatment stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No safeguards documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the full validation and monitoring evidence for additionality, baseline setting, leakage treatment, and any buffer or reversal provisions.

→ Disclose verified issuance totals, registry status, and explicit CORSIA/CCP eligibility so double-claim and over-crediting risk can be assessed.

Documents Reviewed

- 16 May 2025 Issuance Certificate
- 16 May 2025 2024 Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-DFE45804 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-12 · Generated: 2026-04-12

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy