

QUALITY REPORT

Grid Connected Wind Power Project By M/S. D. J. Malpani In Rajasthan

VCS-1021 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-DDBAF5BE · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, but the evidence base is weakened by unresolved leakage treatment and multiple corrective actions in the monitoring report. The verified issuance is far below the claimed amount, and several contradictions across documents reduce confidence in the reported figures and project narrative.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1021
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS I. D. 18.0
Crediting Period	2021 — 2031
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	2,505 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	28 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The monitoring report lists many corrective actions, including inconsistent project name, missing technical specifications, and unclear crediting-period justification.
- Leakage is not addressed in the latest record, and the verified emissions reductions are much lower than the amount claimed in earlier documentation.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the verification documentation.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest record, and the buffer pool is not stated.

The project's additionality is supported because the verification report confirms an investment test and the VVB is identified as VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd. The project is an avoidance-type wind project, so reversal risk is inherently lower, and no reversal events are reported. However, leakage is explicitly marked as not addressed in the latest record, the buffer pool is not stated, and the monitoring report required several corrective actions, which weakens the overall integrity assessment.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period in the issuance documentation.
- The monitoring report required multiple corrections, including inconsistent project naming and missing transparency on emission-factor calculation.

Transparency is mixed. The issuance documentation provides a monitoring period and a named verifier, but the monitoring report also requested corrections for inconsistent project naming, missing technical specifications, unclear inclusion of period boundaries, and incomplete disclosure of the emission-factor tool. The record also does not state the usage monitoring method, which limits MRV clarity.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

- + The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk.
- The verified issuance of 2,505 is far below the 34,931 claimed in the extracted record, and the contradiction history shows large shifts in reported totals.

Claim safety is weakened by the large gap between the amount claimed and the amount verified: the record shows 34,931 claimed versus 2,505 verified. The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which helps reduce dual-claim risk, but the contradiction history shows major changes in reported totals and a shift in crediting-period framing, which raises over-crediting and reporting-risk concerns. Leakage treatment is also unresolved in the latest record, adding to claim uncertainty.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + The record includes a substantial set of extracted documents and a recent issuance document dated 2023-01-17.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the record contains several unresolved documentation gaps and corrective actions.

The documentation set is fairly broad, with 28 documents used and a recent issuance document dated 2023-01-17. Even so, extraction confidence is only medium, and the monitoring report contains multiple corrective actions that indicate incomplete or inconsistent documentation. The crediting period is stated, but the record does not clearly show a recent baseline reassessment or complete supporting detail for several key items.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversals reported
● Leakage	Latest record says leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a fully reconciled monitoring and verification package that explains the claimed-versus-verified gap and resolves all listed corrective actions.

→ Document leakage treatment, baseline reassessment history, and complete MRV procedures, including the emission-factor calculation tool and usage monitoring method.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Deed.pdf
- I2_PRR_1021_8APRIL2021.pdf
- 7.5 MW_VCS Issuance Representation, Single Representor, v3.2.pdf
- DJM_VCS-Issuance-Representation_VCS 1021_Signed.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-VCS 1021.pdf
- V2_1021_PRR_17January2023.pdf
- VCS-Joint-PD-MR_VCS 1021_V02_22102021.pdf
- DJM 7.5 MR v04.1_16-04-13.pdf
- VCS MR 1021_clean_01.02.2021.pdf
- VCS-MR-1021_V03_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation-Single-Representor-VCS 1021.pdf
- 7.5 MW_VCS Registration Representation, Single Representor, v3.1.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-single-PP_VCS 1021.pdf
- CCP.VOL0923 VCS Certificate.pdf
- Project ID 1021 PRR, 28 January 2022.pdf
- 01_PDD_Ver_02.pdf
- DJM 7.5 MW - VCS PDv3_02-04-13.pdf
- FVR_Malpani-1021.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-1021.pdf
- CCP.VOL0923 VCS Verification Representation .pdf
- VCS-Joint-Validation-Verification-DJ Malpani_VCS1021_A+22821 25 10 2021.pdf
- CCP.VOL0923 VCS Validation Representation.pdf
- VCS Verification deed_VKU.VER.11.22_VCS_1021_Signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-VCS 1021.pdf
- CCP.VOL0923 VCS Report.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-VCS 1021.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.11.22_VCS_1021_Clean.pdf
- 06_Validation_Report_V3.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-DDBAF5BE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy