QUALITY REPORT

Wind Power Project at Rajkot, Gujarat

VCS-1045 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-5B3C1494 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.9 Overall Score out of 10	
Integrity (35%)	5.4
Transparency (25%)	4.8
Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS wind project with VVB-verified additionality and a recent verification/issuance record, which supports some credibility. However, leakage is not addressed, reversal handling is inconsistent across documents, and several corrective actions were raised, so the claim quality is only moderate.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1045
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version-20.0
Crediting Period	2022 — 2032
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	36 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- Leakage justification is marked as not addressed, despite a project type where leakage treatment should be explicit.
- The documents conflict on the crediting period, additionality test framing, FPIC, benefit sharing, and reversal treatment, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which is stronger than an unverified assertion.

- Leakage is not addressed, and reversal handling is inconsistent across documents, with one record saying reversal events are not applicable and another saying they are not addressed.

The project has a positive integrity signal because the VVB, Earthood Services Private Limited, confirmed additionality through an investment test, and the baseline was last reassessed in 2021. That said, leakage is explicitly marked as not addressed, and reversal treatment is inconsistent: one field says reversal events are not applicable while another says they are not addressed. The verification also recorded multiple corrective and clarification requests, which reduces confidence in the robustness of the project claims.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period from 2023-07-01 to 2023-12-31, and a recent issuance document dated 2024-05-13.

- Claimed versus verified ER totals are not available, and key monitoring details such as grid emission factor and usage monitoring method are not found in the extracted record.

Transparency is mixed. The monitoring period is stated as 2023-07-01 to 2023-12-31, the project has a named verifier, and the issuance document is recent, but the extracted record does not provide claimed or verified ER totals, grid emission factor values, or a usage monitoring method. The absence of these core MRV details limits public traceability and makes it harder to assess the reported issuance.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, and the baseline was last reassessed in 2021, which is better than an outdated baseline with no review.

- Leakage is not addressed and the record contains contradictions on additionality framing, FPIC, and crediting period, increasing over-crediting and greenwashing risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the lack of leakage treatment and by several contradictions across documents. The record shows CORSIA eligibility, but CCP status is not stated, so dual-market risk cannot be fully ruled out from the extracted facts alone. The baseline is a project baseline rather than a clearly standardized jurisdictional baseline, and the conflicting statements on additionality, FPIC, and crediting period increase over-crediting concern.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

+ The record draws on many extracted items and includes a named verifier plus a recent issuance document.

- The extraction confidence is only medium, evidence documents are not clearly enumerated, and multiple CARs/CLs were raised during verification.

Documentation quality is moderate at best. There are many extracted facts and a named VVB, but the extraction confidence is only medium and the evidence document list is not clearly populated beyond an unknown entry. The verification also raised multiple CARs and CLs, including issues with the MR template, schematic diagram, and project proponent details, which suggests documentation gaps even though the findings were reportedly closed.

Risk Indicators

● **Additionality**	VVB-confirmed investment test
● **Permanence**	No reversal risk for avoidance, but handling is inconsistent
● **Leakage**	Leakage not addressed
● **Baseline**	Project baseline with reassessment timing known
● **Safeguards**	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but contradictory reco
● **Double-claim**	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, quantified leakage assessment or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Publish a reconciled MRV package that resolves the contradictions on FPIC, benefit sharing, reversal treatment, and crediting period, with the verified ER totals clearly stated.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation-VCS 1045.pdf
- VCS 1045_Issuance Deed of Representation.pdf
- VCS Issuance Representation, Single Representor, v3.2.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation-v4.2.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3_VCS 1045.pdf
- 1045_MR 11.10.2022 _clean mode.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- MR_VCS 1045_24.02.2023.pdf
- MR_VCS 1045_23.10.2023.pdf
- VCS MR,v2_corrected.pdf
- MR_VCS 1045-MR_09.04.2024_v4.3.pdf
- VCS 1045_Joint PD MR_17082022.pdf
- MR2-VCS1045-20220413 - clean.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- ER Sheet_VCS 1045_09.04.2024.xlsx
- VCS Registration Representation, Single Representor, v3.1.pdf
- VCS PD, v3.1_04.05.2013.pdf
- CDM_PDD_Rajkot_V6_VVS.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS.VER.23.30_FVR.pdf
- VCS.VER.23.30_FVR_final.pdf
- VCS Verification Representation, v3_1.pdf
- CDM_Validation_report_Rajkot_Ver-05.pdf
- Deed_VCS.VER.23.28_MP8.pdf
- FVR_2022IQMD01_2.0Aa_1045_11 Oct. 2022.pdf
- VCS 1045_VER_v2.1.pdf
- 1045_Joint VVR .pdf
- VCS Validation Representation, v3_1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_Project ID_1045_signed.pdf
- VCS VR-1045_Ver 1.3_Rev_12 Jul 22 clean mode.pdf
- VCS 1045_VER_deed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation_VCS1045.pdf
- FVRP_V2_(13IQMD04)_05082013A.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5B3C1494 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy