QUALITY REPORT

SOLAR POWER PROJECT in ANDHRA PRADESH

VCS-1093 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-B3F318E9 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ **Integrity (35%)**	**4.6**
	■ **Transparency (25%)**	**4.1**
	■ **Claim Safety (25%)**	**5.0**
	■ **Documentation (15%)**	**3.2**

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS renewable project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, but the evidence base is thin and several key items are not stated in the extracted record. The verification report shows multiple corrective actions and low extraction confidence, which weakens confidence in the documentation and transparency of the claim.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1093
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2012
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D. Version 17
Crediting Period	2012 — 2022
VVB	KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2018 — 2021
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- ■ No quantified baseline emissions, grid factor, or verified issuance figures were found in the extracted record.
- ■ The verification report lists many corrective actions and the extracted record has low extraction confidence, reducing reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses the AMS-I.D. methodology under VCS.

- Reversal risk is not well documented: reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool share is stated.

The verification report confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, which supports the project's core eligibility case. However, permanence is weakly documented because reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is provided. Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, but the overall integrity score is held back by missing baseline quantification and the large number of corrective actions and clarifications in the verification report.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

+ A named VVB is provided, and the monitoring period is clearly stated as 2018-01-01 to 2021-12-31.

- Key MRV outputs are missing from the extracted record, including verified issuance totals, usage monitoring details, and grid emission factor values.

The project has a named verifier and a clear monitoring period in the verification report, which helps traceability. But the extracted record does not provide verified issuance totals, usage monitoring method, or grid emission factor values, so the MRV trail is incomplete. Low extraction confidence further reduces transparency because at least one key document was poorly readable.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, which is better than an unquantified leakage assumption.

- Baseline and over-crediting checks are hard to assess because the baseline is only described as project-based and several core quantitative inputs are not stated.

Claim safety is moderate because the project is an avoidance project with leakage treated as negligible, and the VCS registry status is registered. Still, the baseline is only described as project-based, and important quantitative checks such as verified emissions reductions and grid factors are not available in the extracted record. That leaves some residual over-crediting and claim-strength uncertainty.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ The record includes a verification report date, a named verifier, and a substantial number of extracted facts from official documents.

- Extraction confidence is low, evidence documents are not clearly identified, and the report contains many corrective actions and clarifications.

Documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and the fact that the evidence documents are not clearly enumerated in the extracted record. The verification report is dated 2023-01-09, but the crediting period ended in 2022, so the file is not especially current. The many corrective actions and clarifications indicate that the documentation required substantial follow-up before closure.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
Permanence	Reversal risk not fully documented
Leakage	Leakage deemed negligible
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified issuance totals, baseline emissions inputs, and grid emission factor used for the monitoring period.

→ Provide a complete, readable evidence pack with the closed corrective actions, reversal treatment, and explicit CORSIA/CCP status.

Documents Reviewed

- IR_SSEL.pdf
- PPIR_SSEL.pdf
- Issuance_Deed_of_Representation.pdf
- Saisudhir_MR_phase I_Final_20_june_2022.pdf
- 1093- SPP Saisudhir MRv5.pdf
- 2013-03-04_Final_VCS_Monitoring_Report_Saisudhir.pdf
- COMMS_AGR_1093_15MAY2013.pdf
- 1093 - Communications Agreement.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1093_06MAR2013.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1093_04MAR2013.pdf
- CDM_PROJ_DESC_1093_27MAR2012.pdf
- 1093 FVR_SPP Sai Sudhir Report v1.pdf
- CDM_VALID_REP_1093_07MAY2012.pdf
- FVR_SAISUDHIR_28042022.pdf
- VALID_STA_1093_04MAR2013.pdf
- Verification Deed.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1093_05JAN2012_30MAY2012.pdf
- DEED_VCS-Verification-Representation_Rev..pdf
- 1093-VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B3F318E9 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy