

QUALITY REPORT

Fresh Breeze Afforestation Project

VCS-1141 · VCS · Mexico

Report ID: CM-40074B82 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.9
■ Documentation (15%)	3.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a buffer pool, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the record shows major documentation weaknesses, unresolved leakage and reversal treatment, and several verification report corrective actions, which materially weaken confidence in the credited volume and claim quality.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1141
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	Mexico
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AR-ACM0003 v01
Crediting Period	2009 — 2059
VVB	AENOR CONFIA S.A.U.
Verified ERs	74,333 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	54 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification report lists multiple corrective actions, including missing vintage breakdowns, missing GIS package, and incomplete field inventory evidence.
- Leakage is not properly justified in the latest record, and reversal treatment is described as not addressed despite the project being an afforestation activity.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a barrier test, which supports the project's core additionality claim.
- Leakage is not addressed in the latest issuance record, and reversal events are described as not addressed even though a buffer pool is present.

The verification report confirms additionality through a barrier test and states that the VVB reviewed the project, which is a meaningful positive. On the other hand, leakage justification is not addressed in the latest issuance record, and reversal treatment is also not addressed even though the project uses a buffer pool. The project therefore has some integrity support, but the permanence and leakage evidence are incomplete.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + The project has a named verifier and a defined monitoring period, which helps traceability.
- The verification report notes missing breakdowns and incomplete assessment of assumptions, and the latest record shows a large gap between claimed and verified ERs.

The project has a named verifier, AENOR CONFIA S.A.U., and a clearly stated monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2022-10-31. However, the verification report says it does not include the requested vintage breakdown and does not assess the appropriateness of most assumptions and values used at verification. The large difference between claimed and verified ERs further reduces transparency because the basis for the claim is not fully clear from the extracted record.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

- + The project is not described as CCP-approved, which avoids one channel of claim complexity.
- The latest record shows 285,642 ERs claimed versus 74,333 verified, a very large discrepancy that raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the very large gap between 285,642 ERs claimed and 74,333 ERs verified in the latest record. The project is listed as CORSIA-eligible, which can increase claim complexity, while CCP status is not mentioned. Because leakage and reversal treatment are not well explained, the risk of over-crediting or overstated climate benefit remains material.

Documentation — 3.6 / 10

- + A substantial number of documents were used, and the record includes recent issuance-era information.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the verification report required multiple corrective actions, including missing GIS and field inventory details.

Documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and by multiple corrective actions required in the verification report. Those actions include updating the vintage table, providing a GIS package, clarifying opportunity cost treatment, and supplying field inventory measurements and biomass calculations. The project has many documents in the extraction set, but the record still appears incomplete and partly inconsistent.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	buffer pool present, reversals not addressed
● Leakage	leakage not properly justified
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible, CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, internally consistent verification package with the missing vintage breakdown, GIS package, and field inventory calculations.

→ Document leakage and reversal treatment clearly, including quantified justification and any buffer pool logic tied to the current credited vintage.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1_Oct 8 21.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_1141_01JUL2009_22DEC2014.pdf
- VCS_RV_PRR_1141_2July 2025.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3_signed.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1_Jan 21.pdf
- 20129 Fresh breeze VCS MR v06.pdf
- Monitoring_Report_P116_VER_169_May_16.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1141_01JUL2009_22DEC2014.pdf
- VCS_MON_REP_1141_01JAN2020_31DEC2020.pdf
- PT-FB-Monitoring Report 2022 v6 (1).pdf
- 06_Tintal-Verif-Datos.xls
- P116_VAL_372.xls
- Poligonos Fresh Breeze PROTEAK.kml
- P116_VAL_322.pdf
- I1_PRR_1141_27JUL2016_CLOSED.pdf
- AFOLU_PROJ_ELEM_1141_01JUL2009_22DEC2014.pdf
- P116_VAL_321.pdf
- PT-FB-VCS Non-Permanence Risk Report 2022 v4.pdf
- Copy of Proteak-LTA updated 2022.xlsx
- 07_Tintal-Verif-Plano.pdf
- 04_Tintal-Verif-Cump.Prop.Tec.pdf
- P116_VAL_157.pdf
- P116_VAL_230.pdf
- P116_VAL_153.pdf
- 08_Tintal-Verif-Anexo.pdf
- 291221_mx_long_term_avarange_2020_v2.xlsx
- AFOLU_PROJ_ELEM_1141_30MAY2014.pdf
- 05_Tintal-Verif-Cump.Prop.Amb.pdf
- P116_VAL_180.pdf
- Fresh Breeze_Non-Permanence-Risk-Report-05_Commented 22092021_CLEAN.pdf
- VCS_V_EXEMPTION-APPROVED_1141_07JUNE2024.pdf
- Non Permanence Risk Report.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1141_18JUL2014.pdf
- REG_PRR_1141_01AUG2014.pdf
- V3_1141_03JAN2022.pdf
- 03_Tintal-Verif-Portada.pdf
- P116_VAL_134.pdf
- PT-FB-MR2022 measurement and calculation v4.xlsx
- Issuance_Representation_Proteak_May_16.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1141_30JUN2014.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2 Fresh Breeze-Aenor.pdf
- VALID_STA_1141_18JUL2014.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1141_01JUL2009_22DEC2014.pdf
- VCS_ProTeak_FreshBreeze_VerificationRepresentation_012121.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1141_01JUL2009_22DEC2014.pdf
- VCS VER Report Fresh Breeze Mexico_PRR_v5.0.pdf
- Fresh Breeze_Verification Representation_v4.pdf

- VALID_REP_1141_09JUL2014.pdf
- VCS_ProTeak_FreshBreeze_VerificationReport_012121.pdf
- VCS_VER_REP_1141_01JAN2020_31DEC2020.pdf
- Verification_Report_Fresh_Breeze_Apr_16.pdf
- Verification_Representation_Aenor_Apr_16.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-40074B82 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy