

QUALITY REPORT

Amazon Rio REDD+ IFM

VCS-1147 · VCS · Brazil

Report ID: CM-C83EDA9C · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.9

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.4
■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a 10% buffer pool, and no material findings reported. However, key elements are either missing or inconsistent, especially leakage treatment, baseline reassessment, and reversal handling, which weakens confidence in the credited reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1147
Sector	redd
Country	Brazil
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	VM0011 1.0
Crediting Period	2013 — 2049
VVB	Earthood Services Limited
Verified ERs	202,787 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2013 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents: one source says leakage was deemed negligible, while a contradiction notes a quantified treatment elsewhere.
- The crediting period differs between documents, and the record does not explain why the later date range was preferred.
- Reversal risk is not clearly addressed beyond a buffer pool, and reversal events are listed as not addressed.
- Public-facing MRV detail is thin: the monitoring method, FNRB method, and claimed-versus-verified ERR comparison are not stated.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a barrier test, and the verification record reports no material findings.

- Leakage is not robustly documented: the record says it was deemed negligible, but the contradiction log indicates another document treated it as quantified, and reversal handling is not addressed.

The verification report indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB through a barrier test, and no material findings were reported. A 10% buffer pool is in place, which helps with permanence, but reversal events are listed as not addressed and the baseline has not been shown to have been recently reassessed. Leakage is a concern because the record says it was deemed negligible, yet the contradictions log says another document treated leakage as quantified; I privileged the more explicit contradiction entry from the later document set, but the inconsistency still lowers confidence.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period and verified emissions reductions are stated, and the VVB is identified as Earthood Services Limited.

- Several core MRV details are missing, including the monitoring method, FNRB method, and any claimed-versus-verified ERR comparison.

The project identifies the VVB as Earthood Services Limited and provides a monitoring period from 2013-06-05 to 2022-06-04, with 202,787 verified reductions stated. However, the monitoring method, FNRB method, and any claimed-versus-verified ERR comparison are not stated in available documents, which limits public MRV clarity. The registry status is only verification approval requested, so the transparency picture is incomplete.

Claim Safety — 4.4 / 10

+ The project uses a project baseline and has a 10% buffer pool, which provides some protection against over-crediting and permanence risk.

- Claim safety is weakened by missing FNRB and usage-rate information, plus unresolved contradictions on leakage and the crediting period.

Claim safety is helped by the use of a project baseline and the presence of a buffer pool, but the record does not provide the FNRB value, usage-rate assumptions, or usage-rate verification. The leakage treatment is not fully reliable because of the contradiction between a negligible-leakage statement and a quantified-treatment reference. With no CCP status or CORSIA eligibility stated, the project cannot benefit from a stronger claim-safety signal.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

+ The record includes many relevant fields, cites 24 documents used, and shows no material findings or corrective actions required.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the evidence list is not specific, which limits confidence in the completeness of the documentation set.

The record is moderately well populated, with 24 documents used, a named VVB, and no material findings or corrective actions required. FPIC, benefit sharing, grievance mechanism, and safeguards are mentioned, which is positive. Still, extraction confidence is only medium, the evidence-doc list is not specific, and key fields remain unstated, so documentation quality is only moderate.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	buffer pool present, reversal handling unclear
● Leakage	negligible claim but contradictory leakage treatment
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, document-backed explanation for the leakage treatment, including whether it was quantified or deemed negligible and why.

→ Publish the missing MRV details, especially the FNRB method, usage monitoring approach, and a clear reconciliation of claimed versus verified reductions.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS Monitoring-Report.pdf
- DRAFT_EBCF_CCB_MR_v1.1_11mar2024.pdf
- SUMMARY_MR_Cartilha_EBCF.pdf
- Amazon Rio IFM_EBCF_CCB_MR_v1.2_fev2025_clean.pdf
- DRAFT_SUMMARY_EBCF_CCB_MR_11mar24.pdf
- id1147_project_area_amazon_rio_redd_ifm_validated.kml
- CCB_VCS_EXEMPTION DENIED_1147_30OCT2023.pdf
- SUMMARY_PD_Annex56b_Cartilha_EBCF.pdf
- 1147 Summary of Comments.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1147_17JAN2018.pdf
- Verification_EXEMPTION-APPROVED_1147_12Mar2025.pdf
- DRAFT_Summary_EBCF_CCB_PD_v1.1_11mar2024.pdf
- 1147_PUB_COM_SUM_CCB_23APR2025_23MAY2025.pdf
- CCB_VER_REQUEST-DENIED_1147_11Jul2023.pdf
- PP_LIST_REP_PL1147_16SEP2013.pdf
- AFOLU_PROJ_ELEM_1147_03NOV2017.pdf
- DRAFT_EBCF_CCB_PD_v1.0_08mar24.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_###_16SEP2013.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1147_13JUL2017.pdf
- CCB_PROJ_DESC_ENG__1147_13JUL2017.PDF
- Amazon Rio IFM_EBCF_CCB_PD_v1.3_april2025_clean.pdf
- VCS Verification report.pdf
- VALID_REP_1147_17NOV2017.pdf
- VALID_STA_1147_17NOV2017.pdf
- CCB_VALID_STA_1147_17NOV2017.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C83EDA9C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy