

QUALITY REPORT

VIÑALES BIOMASS POWER PLANT

VCS-1186 · VCS · Chile

Report ID: CM-D9D5D885 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.4
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.1
	Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%	

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS biomass removal project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a quantified leakage treatment, but the evidence base is weakened by multiple verification findings and several unresolved documentation inconsistencies. The project appears to have meaningful MRV activity, yet the record shows gaps around permanence, safeguards, and the consistency of reported monitoring information, which raises claim-risk and reliability concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1186
Sector	biomass
Country	Chile
Vintage	2015
Project Methodology	ACM0006 v12.1.1
Crediting Period	2014 — 2024
VVB	Verifit Colombia S.A.S
Verified ERs	460,837 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	31 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification report records multiple CARs and CLs, including inconsistencies between the verification report, monitoring report, and ERR sheet.
- Permanence is not well supported: reversal events are not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.
- Safeguards are only partially documented: FPIC is not found in the extracted record and the verifier requested evidence on no net harm and local stakeholder process.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a recognized baseline methodology under ACM0006.

- The verification report lists multiple findings and corrective actions, and reversal risk is not addressed with any buffer pool information.

The verification report confirms additionality through a common-practice test, and the project uses ACM0006 with the baseline last reassessed in 2020. However, the report also lists multiple CARs and CLs, including inconsistencies in monitoring equipment, monitored parameters, and the ERR sheet, which weakens confidence in the robustness of the emissions accounting. Permanence is not well supported because reversal events are not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ A named VVB is identified, the monitoring period is stated, and the verification report provides a quantified verified emissions reduction figure of 460,837.

- The extracted record shows inconsistencies between documents, missing monitoring-method detail, and no claimed-versus-verified comparison because the claimed figure is not stated.

The record identifies Verifit Colombia S.A.S as the VVB and gives a clear monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2023-12-31, with 460,837 verified emission reductions reported in the verification report. At the same time, the claimed amount is not found in the extracted record, so a direct claimed-versus-verified comparison cannot be made. Monitoring-method detail is also not stated, and the report itself flags omissions and inconsistencies across documents.

Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

+ Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 0%, and the project is marked as CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record.

- The project is not CCP-approved in the available record, and contradictions around additionality, CORSIA eligibility, and leakage justification reduce confidence in the claim.

Claim safety is weakened by the combination of a project-specific baseline, unresolved documentation issues, and contradictory statements about leakage justification and additionality testing across documents. The extracted record marks the project as CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status is only not mentioned, so dual-market claim risk is not fully resolved. The 0% leakage deduction is helpful, but the verifier's findings and the lack of verified usage-rate detail leave residual over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ The record draws on many documents, with 31 documents used and a recent verification report dated 2024-12-11.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report itself notes omissions, inconsistent equipment details, and several corrective actions.

Documentation breadth is reasonably strong, with 31 documents used and a recent verification report dated 2024-12-11. Still, extraction confidence is only medium, and the report notes several omissions, inconsistent equipment identification, and the need to correct versioning and traceability issues. The absence of a stated buffer pool, usage monitoring method, and several other key fields limits completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed test present
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Quantified leakage treatment
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing limited
● Safeguards	Partial safeguards evidence
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a fully reconciled document set that resolves the reported inconsistencies in monitoring equipment, monitored parameters, ERR values, and crediting-period dates.

→ Add explicit evidence for permanence and safeguards, including buffer pool treatment or reversal management, FPIC or equivalent stakeholder documentation, and a clear monitoring method for usage and leakage.

Documents Reviewed

- PP_ISS_REP_1186_01JUL2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3 12032024.docx.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_1186_01JAN2015_31DEC2016.pdf
- VCS_PRR_1186_01JAN2021-31DEC2023 .pdf
- Issuance_deed_of_representation.pdf
- VCS_V_PRR_1186_01JAN2017_31DEC2020.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3 11112024.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report-Template-Verifit round 1.2 verra_Clean.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1186_01JAN2015_31DEC2016.pdf
- Vi??ales_monrep_CP1MP1_ver3_160714.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1186_01JUL2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- Vi??ales_monrep_CP1MP2_ver3_170803.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report-VF2 2021-2023-v4.4 03122024 (1).pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1186_12NOV2013.pdf
- 2ISS_PRR_1186_14SEP2017.pdf
- ER calc 2020-19-18-17 post audit round3.1.2 TR round 1.2.xlsx
- ER calc 2023-2022-2021. v1.1.4 (1).xlsx
- 1ISS_PRR_1186_07DEC2016.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1186_10MAY2013.pdf
- CP1_VI??ALES_PDV3_160531.pdf
- VCS.VER.21.134_FVerRep_Vinales_PRR_Clean.pdf
- 24-006_VCS VerR 1186 01JAN2021-31DIC2023_PRR_CL (1).pdf
- VERIF_STA_1186_01JUL2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- VALID_STA_1186_17JUN2013.pdf
- VALID_REP_1186_17JUN2013.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1186_01JAN2015_31DEC2016.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1186_01JAN2015_31DEC2016.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1186_01JUL2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- VCS_Verification_Representation,_v3.2_0_(1).pdf
- 24-006_Verification-Representation-v4.2.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D9D5D885 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy