QUALITY REPORT

Shandong Wendeng Zhangjiachan Wind Farm Project

VCS-1188 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-7B72951A · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.4 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	6.2
	Transparency (25%)	6.8
	Claim Safety (25%)	6.0
	Documentation (15%)	7.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS wind project using ACM0002 with VVB-confirmed additionality and a close match between claimed and verified emission reductions in the extracted record. However, several cross-document inconsistencies (including verified ER figures, crediting period dates, and safeguards/grievance statements) reduce confidence and increase over-crediting and claims-risk concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1188
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 12.2.0
Crediting Period	2011 — 2021
VVB	Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd
Verified ERs	403,631 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2016 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	26 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- ■ Conflicting verified ER totals across validation reports (403,631 vs 335,659) create over-crediting and data reliability risk.
- ■ Crediting period dates conflict between the monitoring report (2011–2021) and a later validation report (2021–2031), raising eligibility/periodization concerns.
- ■ Leakage is recorded as a 0% deduction while one document does not address leakage and another deems it negligible, weakening leakage justification.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

+ The validation/verification record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test.

- Leakage treatment is weak: a 0% leakage deduction is applied while leakage is not addressed in the 2021 monitoring report and only described as negligible in the PDD.

The project applies ACM0002 (version 12.2.0) and the extracted record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB via an investment test (validation/verification documentation). Baseline setting is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in the extracted record, which is a moderate integrity weakness for a long crediting history. Leakage is applied as a 0% deduction, but the 2021 monitoring report does not address leakage while the PDD later describes it as negligible, which weakens the robustness of leakage treatment.

Transparency — 6.8 / 10

+ The monitoring report (2021-05-18) provides a clear monitoring period (2016-01-01 to 2021-02-25) and the extracted record shows claimed ERs equal verified ERs (403,631).

- Key figures are inconsistent across documents (notably verified ERs and crediting period), reducing transparency and traceability.

The monitoring report (2021-05-18) clearly states the monitoring period (2016-01-01 to 2021-02-25) and the extracted record shows claimed and verified ERs matching at 403,631. The VVB is identified (Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd), supporting audit traceability. However, transparency is undermined by conflicting values across official documents, including different verified ER totals in two validation reports and conflicting crediting period dates.

Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ The project is explicitly marked as not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, lowering aviation-claims risk.

- Contradictory verified ER totals and crediting period dates increase the risk of misstatement or over-issuance in public claims.

The extracted record states the project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of high-impact aviation-related claims. CCP status is not found in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty for high-integrity label claims. The largest claims risk comes from contradictions in verified ER totals (403,631 vs 335,659) and the crediting period (2011–2021 vs 2021–2031), which could lead to confusion or misrepresentation about what was actually verified and eligible for issuance.

Documentation — 7.1 / 10

+ A relatively large document set was used (23 documents including PDD, monitoring report, validation report, and issuance) with high extraction confidence.

- Multiple corrective action requests and repeated contradictions across official documents indicate documentation/record-control weaknesses.

The evidence set includes PDD, monitoring report, validation report, and issuance records, with 23 documents used and high extraction confidence, which supports completeness. Nonetheless, the presence of multiple corrective action requests (including LoAs and clarification of project start date) indicates that key administrative/eligibility elements required follow-up. Repeated contradictions between older and newer documents on safeguards and grievance mechanisms also suggest uneven documentation quality over time.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversal risk indicated
Leakage	0% deduction with weak/inconsistent justification
Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing unclear
Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance inconsistently documented
Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear reconciliation note (or updated verification/issuance statement) explaining why validation reports show different verified ER totals (403,631 vs 335,659) and which figure governs issuance.

→ Clarify crediting period status (original vs renewed period) with a single authoritative timeline and cross-references in the registry and latest verification/monitoring documentation.

→ Strengthen leakage disclosure by explicitly stating the leakage assessment and rationale for 0% leakage in the monitoring report, consistent with ACM0002 requirements.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Communications Agreement
- Draft Project Description
- Project Description
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation

Report ID: CM-7B72951A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy