

QUALITY REPORT

Suzlon 8.40 MW Wind Power Project

VCS-1203 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-44A23517 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings, which supports moderate integrity. However, documentation quality is weak, several corrective actions were raised, and key MRV items such as leakage treatment and monitoring details are incomplete or inconsistent.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1203
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS –I.D 18
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A.
Verified ERs	13,797 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification report lists multiple corrective actions, including unclear monitoring dates, missing installed-technology details, and meter calibration gaps.
- There are material contradictions across documents on credited emissions reductions, crediting period, and leakage treatment, which reduces reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the verification report.

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest verification record, and the report also lists several corrective actions affecting monitoring reliability.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and names RINA Services S.p.A. as the VVB, which supports the project's core environmental case. No material findings were reported, but the report still lists multiple corrective actions, including unclear monitoring dates, missing installed-technology details, and incomplete meter calibration coverage, which weakens confidence in the MRV basis. Leakage is not addressed in the latest record, so I treat that as a meaningful weakness for integrity.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and matching claimed and verified emissions reductions in the latest verification report.

- The extraction confidence is low and the verification report notes unclear monitoring dates, missing turbine breakdown details, and incomplete calibration coverage.

Transparency is mixed: the project has a defined monitoring period from 2021-09-01 to 2023-04-30 and the latest verification report shows claimed and verified reductions both at 13,797 tCO₂e. However, the extraction confidence is low, the evidence set includes only an unspecified document list, and the report itself flags unclear monitoring dates and missing section 3.1 details. Those gaps reduce the clarity and auditability of the public record.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance project in the renewable energy sector, and the latest verification report shows claimed and verified reductions both at 13,797 tCO₂e.

- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the latest record, and earlier documents conflict on both the credited volume and the crediting period.

Claim safety is moderate because this is a wind avoidance project under VCS, and the latest verification report shows no gap between claimed and verified emissions reductions. That said, the project is not CORSIA-eligible, CCP status is not stated, and leakage is not addressed in the latest verification record. Earlier documents also conflict on the credited amount and crediting period, which raises over-crediting and reliability concerns.

Documentation — 3.6 / 10

+ Twenty-five documents were used, and the latest verification report is recent, dated 2024-03-19.

- Minimum extraction confidence is low, and the report includes several corrective actions plus missing or unclear monitoring information.

Documentation breadth is decent, with 25 documents used and a recent verification report dated 2024-03-19. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and the report contains several corrective actions and missing details on installed equipment, calibration coverage, and monitoring-period clarity. The record is therefore incomplete enough to materially reduce the documentation score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Avoidance project, no reversal risk
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed in latest report
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC, grievance, and safeguards noted
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, internally consistent monitoring package with clear dates, turbine/equipment breakdowns, and full meter calibration evidence.

→ Resolve the document contradictions on credited volume, crediting period, and leakage treatment with a reconciled, signed audit trail.

Documents Reviewed

- RCP_PRR_1203_19April2022.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-VCS 1203_Revised_06022024.pdf
- Issuance Representation VCS 1203.pdf
- Issuance Representation_VCS 1203.pdf
- VCS Issuance Representationr_RN_30.01.14.pdf
- MR_VCS 1203_V02_02112023_Clean.pdf
- MR MP02_VCS 1203_V01_04-06-2021.pdf
- VCS-Joint-PD&MR-VCS; 1203_V03_27122021_Clean.pdf
- Final_Monitoring Report_237 Suzlon wind_v03_24-01-2014.pdf
- Registration Representation_VCS 1203.pdf
- VCS Registration Representation _RN_ 30.01.2014.pdf
- Communications-Agreement_1203.pdf
- Final_VCS PD_237 Suzlon wind_v02_18-01-2014.pdf
- Registerd PDD with UNFCCC.pdf
- VAL_STA_1203_27Jan2014.pdf
- CDM_Validation_report_KHTPL_V3.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- FVR_VCS 1203_Suzlon 8.4 MW_Clean.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VCS 1203_signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- Final verification report 8.4 MW.pdf
- VCS-Joint-Validation-Verification-A+26721 (1).pdf
- Final_Ver Report_237 Suzlon wind_Sukanta DAS_v02_24-01-2014.pdf
- Signed_VCS Verification Representation_237 Suzlon wind_Christian JOHANNES_27-01-2014.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-44A23517 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy