

QUALITY REPORT

# Green Energy Project at Kutch by Powerica Limited

VCS-1210 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-38446888 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage treatment, and no reported reversals. However, documentation quality is weak, several verification corrective actions were raised, and there are multiple contradictions across documents that reduce confidence in the reported figures and safeguards. The project is registered under VCS and not CORSIA-eligible, but the evidence base is not strong enough to support a high-confidence claim.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1210
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 20.0
Crediting Period	2021 — 2031
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	39,235 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	32 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- Multiple contradictions exist across documents, including different crediting periods and different reported emission totals.
- The verification report raised numerous corrective actions, including missing monitoring evidence, inconsistent grievance documentation, and missing double-counting declarations.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.6 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core additionality claim.
- The verification report lists many corrective actions, and the crediting period and emissions figures conflict across documents, weakening reliability.

The project's additionality is stronger than average because the VVB confirmed it through an investment test. Leakage is addressed with a quantified deduction of 0%, and no reversal events are reported, which is favorable for an energy project. That said, the verification report required many corrections, including missing monitoring evidence and a request for a double-counting declaration, which lowers confidence in the overall integrity assessment.

### Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The monitoring period and VVB name are stated, and the issuance record shows claimed and verified emissions of 39,235 tCO<sub>2e</sub>.
- The extraction confidence is low and the verification report notes missing calibration certificates, JMR sheets, invoice support, and other monitoring evidence.

The record identifies the VVB, the monitoring period, and matching claimed and verified issuance totals of 39,235 tCO<sub>2e</sub>. However, the extraction confidence is low, and the verification report flags missing calibration certificates, JMR sheets, invoice support, and other supporting records. Those gaps materially weaken transparency and make it harder to independently reproduce the reported results.

### Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 0%, and the project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces some dual-market risk.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly reassessed standardized baseline, and the emission totals are contradicted by earlier documents.

Claim safety is helped by the project being outside CORSIA eligibility and by the quantified leakage treatment. Still, the baseline is project-specific, the baseline emission factor was flagged as inconsistent with the registered PDD, and the record contains conflicting emissions totals from earlier documents. Those contradictions increase over-crediting and claim-quality risk.

### Documentation — 3.1 / 10

- + A substantial number of documents were used, and the record includes issuance and verification information from a named VVB.
- Extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is identified in the structured record, and many required supporting details were missing or inconsistent.

Documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and the fact that only one evidence document is identified in the structured record. Although many documents were apparently reviewed, the verification report still lists numerous missing items and corrective actions, including grievance records, calibration certificates, and supporting operational documents. The recency of the monitoring period is acceptable, but the overall documentary completeness remains weak.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversals reported
● <b>Leakage</b>	Quantified 0% deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline with reassessment missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC present but documentation inconsistent
● <b>Double-claim</b>	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled monitoring package that resolves the conflicting crediting period and emissions totals and includes all missing calibration, JMR, invoice, and operating records.

→ Submit a complete safeguards and anti-double-counting file, including grievance logs, stakeholder consultation evidence, and a clear declaration on participation in other GHG programs.

## Documents Reviewed

- PRR\_1210\_Jan23.pdf
- Issuance Deed VCS 1210.pdf
- VCS 1210 Issuance.PDF
- Issuance Representation\_21.6 MW\_7671.pdf
- VCS 1210 issuance.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation\_Powerica PL1210.pdf
- 7671\_Monitoring report.pdf
- MR\_VCS\_1210\_v04\_10.08.2023.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report Powerica VCS 1210 V03 19.09.2020.pdf
- MR\_VCS 1210.pdf
- VCS 1210 Joint PD and MR V05 20122022 Clean.pdf
- PL1210\_VCS MR\_V02\_25122018.pdf
- VCS 1210 Registration Representation Deed.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-VCS 1210 - Signed.pdf
- Registration Representation\_21.6 MW\_7671.pdf
- 7671\_Suppl. PD.pdf
- PDD.pdf
- Verification Representation\_7671.pdf
- FVR\_Powerica VCS 1210\_ESPL 19.34\_24 09 2020.pdf
- PL1210-FVR-VCS\_20190124\_v02.pdf
- 1210 VCS-Verification-Representation.pdf
- FVR\_VCS 1210\_Verification Report\_Clean\_17082023.pdf
- Final Verification Report 1210.pdf
- Validation Representation\_7671.pdf
- Validation Report.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1\_Powerica VCS 1210\_ESPL 19.34.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS Verification Representation 1210.pdf
- 9518\_VCS Verification Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR\_Joint VAL\_VER VCS 1210 TQC 37021 - Clean.pdf
- 7671\_Verification Report\_Signed.pdf

---

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-38446888 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy