

QUALITY REPORT

# Mordogan Wind Power Project, Turkey

VCS-1231 · VCS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-B9D2A364 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>6.3</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>6.7</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>6.1</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>6.4</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>5.8</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably well-documented wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality, no reported reversals, and no material findings in the latest issuance record. However, several contradictions across documents reduce confidence, especially around credited volume, safeguards, and leakage treatment, so the claim should be treated as moderate rather than strong.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1231
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D 17
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A.
Verified ERs	86,621 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- Earlier documents conflict with the latest issuance record on credited emissions, crediting period, and safeguard disclosures.
- Leakage is described as negligible in the latest record, but an earlier document reportedly quantified it, creating a consistency issue.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.7 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, and the latest issuance record reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- The project uses a project-specific baseline and the record does not state when it was last reassessed; buffer pool coverage is also not found in the extracted documents.

The latest issuance record dated 2024-09-12 confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB found no material findings or required corrective actions. The project also reports no reversal events, which is favorable for permanence in an avoidance project like wind power. Still, the baseline is project-specific and the record does not state a buffer pool percentage or a baseline reassessment date, so the integrity case is solid but not exceptional.

### Transparency — 6.1 / 10

+ The latest issuance record provides a monitoring period, a named verifier, and matching claimed and verified issuance of 86,621 credits.

- Several key transparency items are not stated, including the usage monitoring method and any public buffer or reassessment details; extraction confidence is only medium.

Transparency is helped by the named verifier, the stated monitoring period from 2021-03-01 to 2024-02-29, and the fact that claimed and verified issuance both equal 86,621 in the latest record. However, the usage monitoring method is not found in the extracted documents, and several supporting details are missing or only partially documented. The medium extraction confidence also suggests at least one key source was not fully legible.

### Claim Safety — 6.4 / 10

+ The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market claim risk, and the latest record shows no discrepancy between claimed and verified issuance.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional, and the record contains contradictions on leakage treatment and credited volume versus earlier documents.

Claim safety is moderate because the project is not CORSIA-eligible and the latest issuance record shows no gap between claimed and verified credits. On the other hand, the baseline remains project-specific, and the contradiction log shows earlier documents with a different credited volume and a quantified leakage treatment, while the latest record says leakage is negligible. I privileged the latest issuance record for issuance and safeguard status because it is the most recent and authoritative document type for the current crediting claim.

### Documentation — 5.8 / 10

+ Sixteen documents were used, including a recent issuance record dated 2024-09-12, and the latest record is relatively complete on core issuance fields.

- The extracted record shows medium extraction confidence and multiple cross-document contradictions, which weakens documentation reliability.

Documentation quality is fair: sixteen documents were used, the latest issuance record is recent, and the record includes core issuance and verification information. But the extracted record has medium confidence and multiple contradictions across documents, including differences in credited volume, crediting period, and safeguard disclosures. I privileged the 2024-09-12 issuance record over older documents because it is more recent and more specific to the current issuance decision.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversals reported
● <b>Leakage</b>	Negligible in latest record; earlier contradiction
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline; reassessment date missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	Not CORSIA-eligible; no CCP approval stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear baseline reassessment history and the monitoring/usage calculation method in the latest issuance package.

→ Resolve the cross-document contradictions on credited volume, leakage treatment, and safeguard disclosures with a reconciled public note.

## Documents Reviewed

- MORDOGAN-VCS ISSUANCE DEED\_revised.pdf
- VCS\_CP1\_V2\_PRR\_1231\_12 Sept 2024\_Verra.pdf
- Issuance Representation\_Mordogan.pdf
- VCS1231\_MR\_CP1\_V2\_01 03 2021 to 29 02 2024.pdf
- Final\_MR\_689 Mordogan wind\_v04\_15-11-2021.pdf
- Final SDG Report\_Mordogan WPP.pdf
- Communications Agreement.pdf
- VCS\_Ver\_1231\_23TQ42MD\_2.0Aa\_25082024 (1).pdf
- PP\_REG\_REP\_1231\_13JAN2014.pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_1231\_02JAN2014.pdf
- VALID\_REP\_1231\_03JAN2014.pdf
- VALID\_STA\_1231\_03JAN2014.pdf
- VCS1231\_VR\_CP1\_V2\_01 03 2021 to 29 02 2024.pdf
- Final\_Ver Report\_689 Mordogan wind\_AnD1l SC6yler\_v01.1\_16-11-2021.pdf
- Signed\_VCS Ver Rep\_689 Mordogan wind\_Christian Johannes\_16-11-2021.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2 (1)[91]\_Mordogan\_ZEY\_signed.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B9D2A364 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)