

QUALITY REPORT

AAC Blocks project by Mohit Industries Limited

VCS-1238 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-45BE7D55 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some procedural support, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversals, but the evidence base is uneven and several key quantities are unclear. The large gap between claimed and verified emission reductions, plus missing leakage and usage-monitoring details, raises meaningful over-crediting and claim-quality concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1238
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS-III.Z 04
Crediting Period	2012 — 2022
VVB	RINA
Verified ERs	42,373 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	33 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Verified emission reductions are far below the claimed amount, with 42,373 verified versus 181,635 claimed in the validation records.
- Leakage deduction, buffer pool contribution, and usage monitoring method are not stated in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a barrier test, which supports the project case.
- The verified emission reductions are much lower than the claimed figure, and leakage treatment is not quantified in the extracted record.

The project has a positive signal from the VVB-confirmed barrier additionality test, and the validation record reports no reversal events. However, the baseline is project-specific rather than a standardized or recently reassessed baseline, and leakage deduction is not quantified in the extracted record. The large difference between claimed and verified emission reductions also weakens confidence in the robustness of the credited volume.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The monitoring report is recent and names RINA as the VVB, with a defined monitoring period from 2021-09-01 to 2022-11-24.
- Key MRV details are missing, including the usage monitoring method, verified usage rate, and leakage deduction.

The documentation identifies RINA as the VVB and provides a clear monitoring period, which helps traceability. Even so, the extracted record does not state the usage monitoring method, verified usage rate, or leakage deduction, all of which are important for assessing MRV quality. The monitoring report also shows several corrective action requests, indicating that parts of the documentation needed improvement.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

- + The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces one channel of double-claim exposure.
- The gap between claimed and verified emission reductions, together with a project-specific baseline and missing leakage quantification, increases over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the substantial gap between the claimed emission reductions and the verified amount in the validation records. The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which helps reduce one form of dual-claim risk, but the absence of quantified leakage treatment and the use of a project-specific baseline leave over-crediting concerns unresolved. No CCP status is stated in the available record, so that channel cannot be treated as a positive safeguard.

Documentation — 5.1 / 10

- + The record draws on multiple official document types and includes 33 documents used, with high extraction confidence.
- The monitoring report lists several corrective action requests, including incomplete documentation of monitoring data and emission-reduction calculations.

The evidence base is reasonably broad, with 33 documents used and high extraction confidence, which supports the documentation score. At the same time, the monitoring report records four corrective action requests and notes incomplete transparency around monitoring equipment and emission-reduction estimation. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is recent, but several key fields remain unstated in the available documents.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	No reversals reported
● Leakage	Leakage not quantified
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline
● Safeguards	Partial safeguards documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status unstated

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a complete leakage assessment and any deduction applied, along with the usage monitoring method and verified usage rate.
- Resolve the documentation gaps flagged by the CARs and provide a clear reconciliation of claimed versus verified emission reductions.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Registration and Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Communications Agreement
- Project Description
- Verification Representation
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report
- Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-45BE7D55 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy