

QUALITY REPORT

Gansu Yumen Sanshilijingzi Wind Power Project

VCS-124 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-503EE7FA · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings, but key elements of the baseline and leakage treatment are weakly documented. The record also contains a major discrepancy in verified issuance and several older safeguards-related statements that conflict with the newer verification report, which reduces confidence in the file.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-124
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 06
Crediting Period	2008 — 2018
VVB	China Classification Society Certification Co., Ltd.
Verified ERs	273,926 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2012 — 2018
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	7 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as not addressed in the newer verification record, while an older document reportedly treated it as negligible.
- Verified emission reductions differ sharply between documents, with the newer figure far above the older one.
- Documentation quality is weakened by low extraction confidence and only one evidence document being clearly identified.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, and no material findings or corrective actions were reported.

- Leakage treatment is weak: the newer verification record says it was not addressed, and the baseline was project-specific with no reassessment date found.

The verification report confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, and it reports no material findings or corrective actions, which supports the project's core integrity. However, the baseline is only described as project-based, the last reassessment date is not found, and leakage is marked as not addressed in the newer report, which weakens confidence in the emissions accounting. No buffer pool information or reversal events are stated in the extracted record.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

+ The verification report names the VVB, gives the monitoring period, and reports verified emission reductions of 273,926 tCO₂e.

- Public-facing MRV completeness is limited because the total claimed amount is not stated, the evidence list is sparse, and the extraction confidence is low.

Transparency is moderate because the verification report identifies the verifier, the monitoring period, and a verified emissions figure of 273,926 tCO₂e. At the same time, the claimed emissions total is not stated, the evidence set is thin, and the extraction confidence is low, which limits how fully the project can be traced from the available documents. The registry status is clear, but the documentation is not complete enough for a high score.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The project is a renewable wind project under ACM0002, and it is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market concern.

- Claim safety is weakened by the unresolved leakage treatment and the large discrepancy in verified emissions between the newer and older documents.

Claim safety is mixed. The project is a wind power activity under ACM0002 and is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces some market-claim risk, but the leakage treatment is weak and the baseline is not well documented. The large gap between the newer verified emissions figure and the older figure in the contradictions list raises over-crediting and reliability concerns.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

+ The file includes a recent verification report dated 2022-08-15 and identifies the VVB.

- Only one evidence document is clearly identified, extraction confidence is low, and several key fields are not stated in available documents.

The documentation set includes a recent verification report and identifies the VVB, which is a positive sign. But the extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is clearly listed, and several important items such as additionality test type, leakage deduction, buffer pool, and baseline reassessment are not found in the available record. The presence of newer safeguards statements is helpful, but the file remains incomplete.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline only
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment with a quantified deduction or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Publish a reconciled emissions history and complete supporting documents, including the older and newer verification records and the baseline reassessment history.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS124-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1-revised.pdf
- Monitoring Report_Gansu Yumen Sanshiliqingzi Wind Power Project_V2.pdf
- MR_VCS124_Gansu Yumen Sanshiliqingzi Wind Power Project.pdf
- Communications Agreements-Gansu jieyuanLongyuan carbon.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1-VCS124.pdf
- UK AR6 VCS2007.1 CCP.VOL0606C Clean Final BT 08.07.2009.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-124.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-503EE7FA · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy