

QUALITY REPORT

Guohua Rongcheng Phase III Wind Farm Project

VCS-1304 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-9468CF3F · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.9
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings in the verification report. However, key safeguards and accounting details are incomplete or inconsistent, and the verified emission reductions are materially lower than the amount claimed, which raises over-crediting concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1304
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 12.3.0
Crediting Period	2010 — 2030
VVB	China Certification Center, Inc.
Verified ERs	233,209 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2018 — 2020
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	23 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Verified emission reductions were 233,209, far below the 361,920 figure reported in another document, indicating a major discrepancy in credited volume.
- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the available verification record, and no buffer pool or reversal protection details were found.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, and the verification report reports no material findings.
- Leakage is not addressed in the verification record, and no buffer pool or reversal history is stated in the available documents.

The verification report from China Certification Center, Inc. confirms additionality through an investment test and reports no material findings, which supports the project's core integrity. At the same time, leakage is marked as not addressed, and no buffer pool or reversal-event information is available, so permanence and leakage controls are weakly evidenced. The project uses ACM0002 methodology version 12.3.0, but the baseline is project-specific and not shown to have been recently reassessed.

Transparency — 4.9 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period in the verification report, which supports basic traceability.
- The extracted record shows a large gap between claimed and verified emission reductions, and several monitoring details such as leakage treatment and usage monitoring are not stated.

The project has a named verifier and a clear monitoring period in the 2022-12-20 verification report, which helps traceability. However, several key monitoring fields are not stated in the extracted record, including leakage quantification and usage monitoring method, and the extraction confidence is low. The large discrepancy between claimed and verified emission reductions also reduces confidence in the completeness of the public record.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

- + The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk.
- The verified emission reductions of 233,209 are much lower than the 361,920 figure in a later document, and the baseline is project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline.

Claim safety is weakened by the mismatch between 233,209 verified emission reductions and 361,920 claimed in another document, which suggests a material over-crediting or reporting inconsistency risk. The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which helps on dual-claim exposure, but CCP status is not stated. Because the baseline is project-specific and leakage is not addressed, the overall claim-safety profile remains moderate to weak.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + The record includes a substantial number of extracted documents and a recent verification report dated 2022-12-20.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the verification report lists several corrective actions, including requests for an LoA, MoC, and clarification of common practice analysis.

The record draws on 23 documents, and the verification report is recent, but the extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key source was hard to read. The verification report also lists corrective actions, including requests for an LoA, a Modality of Communication, and clarification of common practice analysis, which suggests documentation gaps. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is later, but the available evidence still leaves important fields unstated.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal evidence, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete leakage assessment, including any quantified deduction or a clear justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Resolve the emission-reduction discrepancy by publishing a reconciled statement explaining the difference between claimed and verified volumes, with document references.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation 1304-1.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation 1304-2.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_1304_17OCT2010_18JUL2012.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report - 1304 2018-2-1 to 2020-10-16.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report - 1304 2014-2-1 to 2018-1-31.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1304_17OCT2010_18JUL2012.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1304_01APR2014.pdf
- COMMS_AGREE_PL1304_01APR2013.pdf
- PP1304[97].pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation -1304.pdf
- VCS-Project-Description-1304.pdf
- CDM_PROJ_DESC_PL1304_08MAR2012.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1304_06MAY2014.pdf
- VALID_STA_1304_30MAY2014.PDF
- VCS-Verification-Representation - 1304 2018-2-1 to 2020-10-16-signed.pdf
- VCS RCP Validation-Report_Rongcheng III_ID1304.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report - 1304 2014-2-1 to 2018-1-31.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1304_17OCT2010_18JUL2012.PDF
- VCS-Verification-Representation - 1304 2014-2-1 to 2018-1-31.pdf
- CDM_VALID_REP_1304_11JUN2012.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1_Guohua III_id1304.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1304_17OCT2010_18JUL2012.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report - 1304 2018-2-1 to 2020-10-16.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9468CF3F · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy