

QUALITY REPORT

The Envira Amazonia Project - A Tropical Forest Conservation Project In Acre, Brazil

VCS-1382 · VCS · Brazil

Report ID: CM-072D367C · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.7 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	2.9
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some positive signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a sizable buffer pool, and a documented FPIC process and grievance mechanism. However, the baseline credibility is questioned by material findings, leakage is not properly justified, and the record contains multiple contradictions that reduce confidence in the claimed emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1382
Sector	redd
Country	Brazil
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	VM0007 3.4
Crediting Period	2012 — 2042
VVB	AENOR
Verified ERs	2,931,598 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2025
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	67 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification material says the baseline is not plausible and notes missing suppression permits and EIA/RIMA evidence.
- Leakage is not addressed despite a REDD project type, and the claimed emissions reductions differ materially across documents.
- Leakage not addressed in project documentation

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.9 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a common-practice test rather than leaving additionality entirely unsubstantiated.

- The verification material reports that the baseline is not plausible, and leakage justification is not addressed.

The project has a positive additionality signal because the VVB, AENOR, confirmed additionality, and the project uses a common-practice test. But the verification material also says the baseline is not plausible, and it flags missing suppression permits and missing EIA/RIMA evidence, which materially weakens baseline robustness. Leakage is a major weakness because the record gives a leakage deduction of 0% while the justification is not addressed.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a stated monitoring period from 2023 to 2025, and a large set of extracted documents.

- The record has low extraction confidence, missing monitoring details, and conflicting emissions figures across documents.

Transparency is mixed: the project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period, and a large number of extracted documents. However, the extraction confidence is low, and the record lacks key monitoring details such as the usage monitoring method. The emissions reduction totals also conflict across documents, which reduces confidence in the public record.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

+ The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces one channel of claim overlap risk.

- The baseline is challenged in the verification material, leakage is not properly justified, and the verified emissions reduction figure is lower than the claimed amount.

Claim safety is weakened by the challenged baseline and the absence of a credible leakage treatment. The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which helps, but the record still shows a large gap between claimed and verified emissions reductions, and the verification material raises concerns about the plausibility of the baseline. These issues increase over-crediting and greenwashing risk.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ FPIC, safeguards, grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing are described in the newer project documentation.

- At least one key document was poorly readable, and the file set contains contradictions on additionality, safeguards, and emissions totals.

Documentation quality is only moderate because the file set is broad and includes safeguards, FPIC, grievance, and benefit-sharing information. Still, the extraction confidence is low, and the contradictions show that different documents disagree on additionality test type, safeguards, buffer pool size, reversal status, and emissions totals. I privileged the newer and more specific verification-era information where available, but the contradictions themselves lower reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed but contradictory test record
● Permanence	Buffer pool present, reversal status unclear
● Leakage	Leakage not adequately addressed
● Baseline	Baseline plausibility challenged
● Safeguards	Safeguards and FPIC described, but not fully validated
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clear, document-backed leakage assessment and explain why a 0% deduction is appropriate for this REDD project.
- Resolve the baseline and emissions-reduction contradictions with a reconciled, version-controlled document trail and clearer public monitoring records.

Documents Reviewed

- ISS_REP_1382_24082022.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.PDF
- PP_ISS_REP_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2014.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project Summary Document, Portuguese (2-16-26).pdf
- Envira_Amazonia_Project_Summary_Document,_Portuguese_(8-24-17).pdf
- 2015_EnviraMonitoring_2017.08.24.pdf
- 2014_EnviraMonitoring_2016.02.12.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project's CCB VCS 2022 Monitoring Report 2024.1.23.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2014.pdf
- Envira_Amazonia_Project_Summary_Document,_English_(8-24-17).pdf
- CCB_MON_REP_SUM_YES_1382_01012019_31122022.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project's CCB VCS 2019-2021 Monitoring Report 2022.02.25.pdf
- CCB_MON_REP_ENG_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project Summary Document, Portuguese (1-23-24).pdf
- CCB_MONIT_REP_ENG_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project Summary Document, English (2-16-26).pdf
- MON_REP1382_01012019_31122021.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project Summary Document, English (1-23-24).pdf
- CCB VCS MR ProjectID1382 DRAFT 01JAN2023-31DEC2025 (2-16-2026).pdf
- CCB_MON_REP_POR_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- ISS1_1382_PRR_2MAY2016.pdf
- 034_01-Envira-VCS_Verification_Report-Final-20160215.pdf
- Envira_Amazonia_Project_Final_CCBS_PIR,_English_(8-24-17).pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1382_04APR2015.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-multiple-PPs (Fully Signed).pdf
- VCS_CPR_REQUEST_DENIED_1382_23MAY2023.pdf
- CCB_IMP_REP_ENG_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- Envira_Amazonia_Conformance_with_Acre_Normative_Instruction_No.1,_2_MAY_2016.pdf
- AFOLU_ELEM_1382_03APR2015.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project's VCS Non-Permanence Risk Report (6-17-2022).pdf
- I2_PRR_1382_12FEB2018.pdf
- Envira Amazonia Project Summary Document, English (2-25-22).pdf
- Accession-Registration-Representation-v4.2 (Fully Signed).pdf
- 2014_EnviraNonPermanenceRiskReport_2016.02.12.pdf
- THE ENVIRA AMAZONIA PROJECT_.pdf
- CCB_IMP_REP_ENG_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2015_V1.pdf
- Partial-Release-Registration-Representation-v4.2 (Fully Signed).pdf
- 2015_EnviraNonPermanenceRiskReport_2017.08.24.pdf
- ID 1382.kml
- CCB_IMP_REP_POR_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2015_V1.pdf
- CCB_PIR_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2014.pdf
- AFOLU_ELEM_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1382_04APR2015.pdf
- CCB_PROJ_DESC_1382_05MAR2015.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf

- CCB_VERIF_STA_1382_01012019_3112N2021.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_STA_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2014.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_STA_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.PDF
- CCB_VERIF_REP_ENG_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2014.pdf
- CCB_VALID_STA_1382_15APR2015.pdf
- VALID_REP_1382_06APR2015.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_STA_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1382_02AUG2012_31DEC2014.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1382_13092022.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1382_01JAN2016_31DEC2018.pdf
- CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_1382_15APR2015.pdf
- Envira_Amazonia_CarbonCO_CCB_verif_17.pdf
- 034_01-Envira_CCBA_Verification_Report_Final-20160215.pdf
- CCB_VALID_STA_1382_06APR2015.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_REP_YES_1382_01012019_31122021.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_REP_ENG_1382_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- VALID_STA_1382_06APR2015.pdf
- CCB_VALID_REP_1382_06APR2015.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-072D367C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy