QUALITY REPORT

Vaspet-II and Vaspet-III Wind Power Project, Maharashtra

VCS-1404 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-77DE3DCC · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2 Overall Score out of 10	**Integrity (35%)**	**4.8**
	Transparency (25%)	**4.1**
	Claim Safety (25%)	**4.0**
	Documentation (15%)	**3.2**

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some strengths, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a recent baseline reassessment, and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%. However, the record contains multiple material inconsistencies and open verification issues, including missing or inconsistent monitoring information, unresolved proof-of-right concerns, and a low extraction-confidence record. Overall, the project looks procedurally active but not especially robust from a documentation and claim-safety perspective.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1404
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 21.0
Crediting Period	2023 — 2033
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	36,878 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	44 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- ■ The verification package reports multiple missing and inconsistent sections, plus open or recently raised findings on monitoring, calibration, and proof of right.
- ■ There is a major contradiction in reported emission reductions, with one document showing 36,878 verified and an earlier one showing 477,443, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case.

- The verification report lists several findings and corrective actions, including a calibration gap and unresolved proof-of-right concerns, which weaken confidence in the emissions claim.

The project's additionality is stronger than average because the verification report confirms an investment test and VVB validation. On the other hand, the issuance record lists multiple findings, including a meter calibration gap and unresolved questions about proof of right for the emission reductions, which lowers confidence in the integrity of the claim. The project is a wind power activity, so reversal risk is inherently low, but the record does not provide a buffer pool percentage and says reversal events are not addressed.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period and recent baseline reassessment are stated, and the project has a named VVB and a quantified verified issuance figure in the latest record.

- The extracted record shows missing and inconsistent information in the project description, monitoring report, and verification report, with low extraction confidence and only one evidence document identified.

Transparency is mixed: the record identifies the VVB, monitoring period, methodology version, and a recent issuance date, and it reports 36,878 verified emission reductions. However, the extracted material also says there is missing and inconsistent information in the project description, monitoring report, and verification report, and the extraction confidence is low. That combination materially weakens the transparency score.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0002 with a project baseline and reports a leakage deduction of 0%, which is at least explicitly stated.

- Claim safety is reduced by the contradiction in verified emission reductions and by the fact that leakage justification is described as not addressed in the latest record.

Claim safety is limited by the contradiction in verified emission reductions, where the latest record shows 36,878 but an earlier document shows 477,443. I privileged the more recent issuance record because it is later and appears to be the authoritative verification outcome, but the discrepancy still signals reliability risk. Leakage is stated as 0%, yet the justification is marked as not addressed in the latest record, so the zero deduction is not fully reassuring.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ The record includes many extracted facts, a recent issuance document, and a named VVB, which helps basic traceability.

- Low extraction confidence, multiple corrective actions, and missing or incomplete sections in the underlying reports indicate weak documentation quality.

The documentation set is broad enough to extract many project facts, but the evidence quality is uneven. The record explicitly says several sections were missing or inconsistent, and the extraction confidence is low, which suggests at least one key document was hard to read. I also note the open corrective actions and the unresolved proof-of-right question, both of which indicate incomplete documentation control.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	Wind project; no reversal events applicable
Leakage	0% deduction but justification not addressed
Baseline	Project baseline with recent reassessment
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but documentation inco
Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean, reconciled monitoring and verification package that resolves the emission-reduction, crediting-period, and leakage contradictions.

→ Provide complete proof-of-right documentation, meter calibration evidence, and a fully traceable grievance and safeguards record.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1_Project ID 1404.pdf
- VCS 1404_Issuance Deed of Representation.pdf
- VCS_CPR1_PRR_1404_20DEC2024.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3_VCS 1404.pdf
- Issuance Deed.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-VCS 1404.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-VCS 1404-Signed.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation-v4.2.pdf
- MR_VCS 1404_V03 TC.pdf
- MR 1404.pdf
- VCS MR 1404 03April2023-31December2024.pdf
- VCS Monitoring Report v02.0 (PL1404).pdf
- MRv1.2-VCS1404-clean.pdf
- VCS PDMR 1404 01012023-02042023_round1_Clean.pdf
- VCS PDMR 1404 01012023-02042023.pdf
- MR_VCS 1404_20221231_v3_final.pdf
- REG_PRR_1404_22Apr2015.pdf
- communication agreement_VCS 1404.pdf
- ER Sheet_VCS 1404_23042024.xlsx
- CPA004_Vaspeth_DD-version3.pdf
- Registration Deed.pdf
- ER_VCS_1404_03April2023-31December2024-v3.0.xlsx
- Listing Representation.pdf
- Registration-Representation-Single-PP-v4.4-VCS 1404.pdf
- V3_PRR_1404_10OCT2022.pdf
- 1404_PRR_21 December 2021_Verra response.pdf
- VCS Project Description Document v02.0 (PL1404).pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2.pdf
- VCS ValVerR 1404 01012023-02042023_round1_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_Project ID_1404_signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS Validation Representation -ReNew PL1404.pdf
- FVR _VCS 1404_TQC 18022.pdf
- 653.49 -VCS Val & Verification Report- ReNew-Vaspet II&III.pdf;
- 1404_VCS_FVR_2022_IQ_MD_3.0Aa_03 October 2022.pdf
- VCS Verification Representation -ReNew PL1404.pdf
- VCS-21.VER.42A_Vaspet III_FVR_Rev_15Dec21-CLEAN.pdf
- Validation-Representation-v4.2_VCS 1404.pdf
- vcs1404-verification-report.pdf
- PoA FVaR_REG cdm validation report.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VCS 1404.pdf
- VCS VerR 1404 03APR2023-31DEC2024.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-77DE3DCC · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy