

QUALITY REPORT

Alaçati Wind Power Project, Turkey

VCS-1437 · VCS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-8EF114B1 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, no reversal events were reported, and the issuance record shows claimed and verified ERs matching at 87,145. However, several important quality signals are weak or inconsistent, including 22 corrective actions, leakage not being addressed in the latest record, and a low extraction-confidence flag. Overall, this looks like a moderately documented renewable project with meaningful reliability and claim-safety concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1437
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM 0002 16
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A.
Verified ERs	87,145 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	20 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Twenty-two corrective actions were required in the issuance record, which suggests substantial issues during verification.
- The latest record says leakage was not addressed, while an earlier document said it was negligible; the project also shows a large drop in claimed/verified ERs from 132,790 to 87,145 across documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project case.

- The latest issuance record lists 22 corrective actions and says leakage is not addressed, which weakens confidence in the baseline and monitoring package.

The VVB confirmed additionality through an investment test, which is a meaningful positive for project integrity. On the other hand, the latest issuance record reports 22 corrective actions and says leakage is not addressed, while buffer pool coverage is not stated and no baseline reassessment date is available. No reversal events were reported, which helps permanence, but the overall integrity picture is weakened by the unresolved leakage treatment and verification issues.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period, crediting period, VVB name, and verified issuance quantity are stated in the issuance record.

- The extraction confidence is low and only one evidence document is identified in the extracted record, limiting auditability.

The issuance record identifies the VVB as RINA Services S.p.A. and provides the monitoring period from 2021-03-01 to 2024-02-29, along with the crediting period and the verified issuance amount. However, the extraction confidence is low, the evidence-doc list is not specific, and several fields that would support MRV transparency are not found in the extracted record. The absence of a stated usage monitoring method and the lack of a baseline reassessment date also reduce transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

+ Claimed and verified emission reductions are equal at 87,145 in the issuance record, reducing immediate over-issuance concern for that vintage.

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and CORSIA eligibility is not stated, leaving residual claim-risk uncertainty.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that claimed and verified ERs are both 87,145 in the issuance record, so there is no immediate mismatch in the latest vintage. Still, the project uses a project baseline rather than a more standardized or jurisdictional baseline, leakage is inconsistently described across documents, and CORSIA eligibility is not stated. The contradiction on total ERs, where earlier documents show 132,790 and the latest record shows 87,145, raises over-crediting and version-control concerns.

Documentation — 3.1 / 10

+ The record includes a named VVB, a monitoring period, a crediting period, and a full issuance date, which helps traceability.

- The extraction confidence is low, the evidence-doc list is not populated with specific sources, and 22 corrective actions indicate documentation weaknesses.

The record contains several core items needed for review, including the VVB name, methodology version, monitoring period, crediting period, and issuance date. But the extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is identified in the extracted record, and 22 corrective actions suggest the documentation package needed substantial cleanup. The missing or unstated buffer pool, baseline reassessment timing, and usage-monitoring details further limit documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal events reported
● Leakage	Leakage inconsistently addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present, but inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full, readable verification and monitoring documents with clear version control so the ER totals, FPIC status, and leakage treatment can be reconciled.

→ Document a quantified leakage assessment, baseline reassessment timing, and closure of all corrective actions, and state CORSIA/CCP status explicitly.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Deed of Representation_13.08.2021.pdf
- VCS 1437_CP1_V2_PRR_01Mar2021 to 29Feb2024.pdf
- 26.06.2024 ALACATI-VCS ISSUANCE DEED_revised.pdf
- VCS 1437_CP1_V2_MR_01Mar2021 to 29Feb2024.pdf
- Final_MR_687 Alacati wind_v04_10-08-2021.pdf
- Final_MR_Alacati wind_v04_10-08-2021.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_687 Alacati wind_v03_10-08-2021.xlsx
- PP_REG_REP_1437_16MAR2015.pdf
- Communications Agreement_AlacatiD1.pdf
- VCS 1437_CP1_V2_ERR_01Mar2021 to 29Feb2024.xlsx
- 1437_PRR_2-09-2021_VVB and Verra response.pdf
- Final SDG Report_AlacatiD1 WPP.pdf
- REG_PRR_1437_08JUNE2015.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1437_24FEB2015.PDF
- VALID_REP_1437_02MAR2015.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_687 Alacati wind_AnD11 SC6yler_v02.1_11-08-2021.pdf
- VCS 1437_CP1_V2_FVR_01Mar2021 to 29Feb2024.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2 (1)[91]_AlaC'atD1_ZEY_signed.pdf
- VALID_STA_1437_04MAR2015.pdf
- Signed_VCS Ver Rep_687 Alacati wind_Christian Johannes_12-08-2021.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8EF114B1 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy