QUALITY REPORT

Urla Wind Power Project, Turkey

VCS-1439 · VCS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-E8E23E67 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7

Overall Score

out of 10

Integrity (35%)	5.2
Transparency (25%)	4.6
Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
Documentation (15%)	3.7

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, leakage was quantified at 0%, and the monitoring period shows verified emissions reductions matching the claimed amount. However, the verification report contains many corrective actions and material findings, and the record has several contradictions that reduce confidence in the documentation and claim safety. Overall, this looks like a moderately credible wind project, but the evidence quality is uneven and the file is not clean enough for a high-confidence assessment.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1439
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM 0002 Version 16
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A.
Verified ERs	122,851 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

■ The verification report lists multiple material findings and a long set of corrective actions, including issues with project description consistency, stakeholder handling, and emissions calculation support.

■ There are contradictions across documents on the additionality test type, leakage treatment, and benefit-sharing description, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the core baseline case.

- The verification report records material findings and numerous corrective actions, including concerns about additionality sensitivity, project description consistency, and emissions calculation support.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and the project uses ACM 0002 with a project baseline, which is supportive. Leakage is stated as quantified with a 0% deduction, but the report also includes material findings and many corrective actions, including a request to justify the impact of higher energy yield on additionality. Reversal risk is not well developed in the extracted record; the report says there is no non-permanence risk rating determined by the project proponent, and buffer pool coverage is not found.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated and the verified emissions reductions equal the claimed amount, which helps traceability.

- The file has low extraction confidence and only one evidence document is clearly identified, with many corrective actions suggesting incomplete or inconsistent reporting.

The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2021-03-01 to 2024-02-29, and total claimed and verified reductions both equal 122,851, which is a positive sign for traceability. However, the extraction confidence is low and only one evidence document is clearly listed, so the documentation trail appears thin. Several corrective actions also indicate that key reporting elements needed clarification or correction.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The project is a wind power activity under ACM 0002 with a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, which is generally favorable for over-crediting risk.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not mentioned, so dual-channel claim risk is not fully excluded.

Claim safety is helped by the wind project type, the quantified zero leakage deduction, and the fact that claimed and verified reductions match exactly. At the same time, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP status is not mentioned, so the record does not rule out dual-market claim concerns. The contradiction on leakage treatment also weakens confidence, even though the later verification report appears to privilege a quantified approach.

Documentation — 3.7 / 10

+ The record includes a recent verification report dated 2024-10-21, a named VVB, and a defined monitoring period.

- Extraction confidence is low, the evidence-doc list is sparse, and the report contains many unresolved corrective actions and at least one unresolved non-permanence statement.

The file includes a recent verification report, a named VVB, and a defined crediting period, which are positive documentation signals. But the extraction confidence is low, the evidence list is sparse, and the report contains a long list of corrective actions, suggesting the documentation package is not fully clean. The absence of a clearly stated buffer pool and the lack of a reassessed baseline date further limit documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No buffer pool stated
Leakage	Quantified 0% leakage deduction
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present, but incomplete detail
Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled document set that resolves the contradictions on additionality, leakage, and benefit sharing.

→ Add explicit evidence on buffer pool coverage, reversal risk treatment, and registry/claim status to strengthen permanence and double-claim assessment.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation.pdf
- VCS_CP1_V2_PRR_1439_01Mar2021 to29Feb2024.pdf
- 1439_VCS Project Review Report_22-12-2021 (Final).pdf
- 212-26.06.2024 URLA-VCS ISSUANCE DEED_revised.pdf
- Final_MR_690 Urla wind_v04_15-11-2021.pdf
- VCS_CP1_V2_MR_1439_01Mar2021 to 29Feb2024.pdf
- Final SDG Report_Urla WPP.pdf
- VCS _CP1_V2_ERR_1439_01Mar2021 to 29Feb2024.xlsx
- PP_REG_REP_1439_16MAR2015.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1439_24FEB2015.PDF
- VALID_REP_1439_26FEB2015.pdf
- Signed_VCS Ver Rep_690 Urla wind_Christian Johannes_16-11-2021.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_690 Urla wind_AnD1l SC6yler_v01.1_16-11-2021.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2 (1)[91]_Urla_ZEY_signed.pdf
- VCS_CP1_V2_FVR_1439_01Mar2021 to29Feb2024.pdf
- VALID_STA_1439_27FEB2015.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E8E23E67 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy