

QUALITY REPORT

Wind power project in Maharashtra, India - Andhra Lake Phase - II

VCS-1481 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-50AE5A1E · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.6
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a closed set of corrective actions, but the record also shows unresolved reliability concerns around leakage, reversal treatment, and emission factor accuracy. Transparency is weakened by low extraction confidence, missing key monitoring details, and contradictions across documents on baseline period, leakage treatment, and credited emissions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1481
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 21.0
Crediting Period	2021 — 2031
VVB	EcoLance Private Limited
Verified ERs	129,184 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	37 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The issuance record says leakage is not addressed, while an earlier document said it was negligible.
- The documents conflict on credited emissions and the crediting period, and one finding says the emission factor values need revision.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.6 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case.
- Leakage is described as not addressed in the issuance record, and reversal treatment is also not addressed.

The verification record confirms additionality through an investment test and says the findings were closed satisfactorily, which is a meaningful positive. However, the issuance record says leakage is not addressed, reversal events are not addressed, and the project proponent did not determine a non-permanence risk rating. The record also includes a finding that emission factor values were not correct and needed revision, which raises concern about calculation robustness.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- The monitoring period is stated, but key MRV details such as the usage monitoring method and baseline reassessment timing are not found in the extracted record.
- ~ The verification report lists multiple corrective actions, and the extraction confidence is low, which reduces confidence in documentation completeness.

The monitoring period and VVB name are available, and the project has a sizable extracted document set. Even so, key MRV details are missing or not stated in available documents, including the usage monitoring method, baseline reassessment timing, and several supporting values. Low extraction confidence further reduces transparency because at least one key document was poorly readable.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- The project uses a project baseline rather than a more standardized or recently reassessed baseline, which increases over-crediting risk.
- ~ The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, but no CCP status is stated and the record contains contradictions on leakage and credited emissions.

Claim safety is weakened by the project-specific baseline and by unresolved uncertainty around leakage treatment. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status is not stated, and the documents conflict on both leakage justification and total verified emission reductions. Those contradictions increase the risk of over-crediting or inconsistent claims.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + The record includes a substantial set of extracted documents and a named VVB, with a monitoring period and issuance date available.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the issuance report still notes findings about emission factor values needing revision, which weakens documentation reliability.

The record includes many extracted documents, a named verifier, and a recent issuance document dated 2025-11-13. However, low extraction confidence means at least one key source was poorly readable, and several important fields are missing or only partially described. The presence of multiple corrective actions and a note that emission factor values need revision also weakens documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal treatment clearly addressed
● Leakage	Leakage treatment inconsistent
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a clear, reconciled explanation of leakage treatment, reversal risk handling, and the corrected emission factor calculations in the latest monitoring package.
- Provide a complete, readable set of monitoring and verification documents that resolves the crediting-period and verified-emissions contradictions and states CCP status explicitly.

Documents Reviewed

- vcs-issuance-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final (1).pdf
- Issuance Representation.pdf
- Issuance Representation_1481_2025-11-13.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3_VCS 1481.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation.pdf
- VCS Issuance Representation_AL-II.pdf
- Issuance_DOR_AL-II.pdf
- Monitoring Report.pdf
- VCS MR 1481_01102022-31122023_Revised_R1.pdf
- VCS_MR_AL 2_V2.2.pdf
- VCS MR 1481_Version 1.1 - Clean.pdf
- VCS 1481 PD and MR version 1.1.pdf
- Monitoring Report_AL-II.pdf
- VCS1481 ER Sheet version 1.1.xlsx
- Registration_DOR_AL-II.pdf
- VCS1481 ER Sheet AL-II version 1.0.xlsx
- vcs-registration-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final.pdf
- VCS PD AL 2_V2.1.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1481_24SEPT2012_cdm.pdf
- 04 CDM_Validation_report_AL II_V 4.1_Final.pdf
- VCS-joint VCR-AL-2_VCS ID 1481.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- 664.49_Andhra Lake II VCS Verification Report_v01.pdf
- Verification-Representation.pdf
- Verification Representation_1481_2025-11-11.pdf
- Verification Representation.pdf
- 664.49_Val DOR_AL II.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS_VerR_1481_01012024-31122024.pdf
- 664.49_Ver DOR_AL II.pdf
- Verification-Representation-VCS1481.pdf
- Verification Report.pdf
- VCS Verification Report_AL-II.pdf
- Verification Representation Deed_AL-II.pdf
- VCS VerR 1481 01102022-31122023.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-50AE5A1E · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy