

## QUALITY REPORT

# REDD+ RESEX RIO PRETO-JACUNDÁ

VCS-1503 · VCS · Brazil

Report ID: CM-95F44687 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 4.3

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.4
■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
■ Documentation (15%)	3.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This REDD+ project has some positive signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage deduction, and a buffer pool for non-permanence risk. However, the record also shows many non-conformities, low extraction confidence, and several unresolved contradictions that weaken confidence in the claimed climate benefits.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1503
Sector	redd
Country	Brazil
Vintage	2020
Project Methodology	VM0015 1.1
Crediting Period	2012 — 2042
VVB	RINA S.p.A.
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	31 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

## Red Flags

- Thirteen non-conformities and two further corrective-action items were listed in the verification materials, indicating substantial documentation or compliance issues.
- The record contains contradictions on additionality method, buffer pool size, leakage treatment, and whether reversal events were reported.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a barrier test under VM0015 with a project-specific baseline last reassessed in 2022.

- The verification materials list 13 non-conformities and required corrective actions, and the record says reversal events were not addressed.

The project has a positive integrity signal because the VVB confirmed additionality and the PDD describes a barrier test under VM0015 with the baseline last reassessed in 2022. That said, the verification record lists 13 non-conformities plus additional corrective actions, and reversal events are not addressed in the extracted record, which materially weakens confidence in permanence and overall robustness.

### Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated, the VVB is named as RINA S.p.A., and the project reports an annual survey for usage monitoring.

- Total verified and claimed emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and extraction confidence is low.

Transparency is moderate at best: the VVB is identified as RINA S.p.A., the monitoring period is stated, and usage monitoring relies on an annual survey. However, the extracted record does not provide verified or claimed emission reduction totals, and the low extraction confidence suggests at least one key source was difficult to read, reducing trust in completeness.

### Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

+ Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 10%, which is better than an unquantified approach.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, CCP status is only not mentioned, and contradictions exist on leakage, buffer pool size, and reversal reporting.

Claim safety is constrained by the project being marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is only not mentioned, leaving dual-claim risk unresolved. The leakage deduction is quantified at 10%, which helps, but contradictions show earlier documents indicated different leakage treatment, different buffer pool size, and even reported reversal events, so the reliability of the claim is weakened.

### Documentation — 3.1 / 10

+ The record draws on many documents, with 30 documents used, and includes a recent PDD dated 2024-04-09.

- Evidence quality is weakened by low extraction confidence, an evidence list that is not informative, and multiple corrective actions still required.

Documentation breadth is decent because 30 documents were used and the PDD is recent, dated 2024-04-09. Still, low extraction confidence, an uninformative evidence-doc list, and multiple required corrective actions indicate the file is not cleanly documented, and the record does not clearly show a fully settled compliance trail.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed test
● <b>Permanence</b>	buffer pool present, reversal handling unclear
● <b>Leakage</b>	quantified deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	project baseline, reassessment timing limited
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA-eligible, CCP not mentioned

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean reconciliation of the contradictions, especially additionality method, buffer pool percentage, leakage treatment, and reversal-event status, with document hierarchy explained.

→ Provide verified emission reduction totals, clearer registry/claim status information, and a complete, readable set of verification findings and corrective-action closures.

## Documents Reviewed

- PRR\_VCS\_CCB RESEX RIO PRETO JACUNDA\_REDD PROJECT.pdf
- PP\_ISS\_REP\_1503\_01OCT2012\_30SEP2015.pdf
- VCSIssuanceRepresentation-Jacunda-2022-ass-2022.pdf
- Draft-RRP-JacundaMR\_CCBv3.0-VCSv3.4\_EN-v.2.0-clean.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1503\_01OCT2012\_30SEP2015.pdf
- CCB-VCS-MR-1503-DRAFT-08082020-30092022-EN.pdf
- CCB-VCS-MR-1503-DRAFT-08082020-30092022-PT.pdf
- RRP-JacundaMR\_CCBv3.0-VCSv3.4\_PT3.1.pdf
- RRP-JacundaMR\_CCBv3.0-VCSv3.4\_EN3.1.pdf
- Draft-RRP-JacundaMR\_CCBv3.0-VCSv3.4\_PT-V.2.0-clean.pdf
- PP\_REG\_REP\_15JUN2016.pdf
- 1503\_16DEC2021.kml
- Jacunda-VCS-RiskReportv4.0-1.0.pdf
- CommunicationAgreement.pdf
- COMMS\_AGR\_PL1503\_19NOV2015.pdf
- REG\_PRR\_1503\_24Sep2016.pdf
- AFOLU\_ELEM\_1503\_01OCT2012\_30SEP2015.pdf
- 1503 Exemption 30 Sep 2021.pdf
- VCS\_LOSS EVENT NOTIFICATION\_ACKNOWLEDGEMENT LETTER\_1503\_09APR2024.pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_1503\_15MAY2016.pdf
- CCB-VCS-PD-2024-RESEX Rio Preto Jacunda-DRAFT\_EN.pdf
- CCB-VCS-PD-2024-RESEX Rio Preto Jacunda- DRAFT\_PT.pdf
- VAL\_REP\_1503\_14JUN2016.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_1503\_01OCT2012\_30SEP2015.pdf
- CCB\_VALID\_STA\_1503\_17JUN2016.pdf
- Verification\_Statement\_JacundC!\_ CCBv3.0\_Signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1\_ JacundC!\_Signed.pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_Verification\_Report\_CCBv3.0\_VCSv3.4\_JacundC! Project V2.1 (2).pdf
- VALID\_STA\_1503\_14JUN2016.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_1503\_01OCT2012\_30SEP2015.pdf

---

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-95F44687 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)