

QUALITY REPORT

Wind Power Project At Jaibhim By SILL

VCS-1525 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-08C54149 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.3

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
■ Transparency (25%)	6.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	6.0
■ Documentation (15%)	7.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS wind power project using ACM0002 with emissions reductions fully matched between what was claimed and what was verified for the reported monitoring period. However, several internal inconsistencies across documents (especially on safeguards and crediting period dates) and an unresolved corrective action on meter calibration weaken confidence in MRV reliability and non-carbon claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1525
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2011, 2012
Project Methodology	ACM0002 12.3.0
Crediting Period	2011 — 2021
VVB	EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited
Verified ERs	110,347 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2011 — 2012
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	15 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Corrective action notes missing calibration certificates for energy meters, which is central to generation-based crediting.
- Multiple contradictions between the PDD and later reports on safeguards/FPIC/grievance mechanism and even the crediting period dates.
- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents (not addressed in validation vs deemed negligible in monitoring).

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

+ The verification outcome shows no material findings and the verified ERs match the claimed ERs for the period (monitoring/verification package dated 2016-06-10).

- Meter calibration evidence was flagged as missing in corrective actions, creating risk around the core electricity generation data (monitoring report, 2016-06-10).

Additionality is relatively strong on paper: the project applies an investment test and additionality is confirmed by the VVB (validation/verification package referenced in the extracted record). Baseline setting is project-specific under ACM0002, and the extracted record does not show when the baseline was last reassessed, which adds some uncertainty. Leakage is treated as a 0% deduction with a “deemed negligible” rationale in the monitoring report (2016-06-10), but this is not consistently reflected across documents. A key integrity concern is the corrective action noting missing calibration certificates for energy meters, which directly affects the accuracy of monitored electricity generation (monitoring report, 2016-06-10).

Transparency — 6.4 / 10

+ Key MRV elements are present (VVB identified as EPIC Sustainability Services; monitoring period and grid emission factor reported) and ERs claimed equal ERs verified (monitoring report, 2016-06-10).

- Contradictory statements across the PDD, validation report, and monitoring report reduce clarity on safeguards and leakage treatment (documents dated 2012-10-31 and 2016-06-10).

The monitoring period (2011-03-11 to 2012-12-31), VVB name (EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited), methodology (ACM0002 v12.3.0), and grid emission factor (0.9487) are all available in the extracted record, supporting basic transparency. The claimed and verified emissions reductions are identical at 110,347 tCO₂e for the period, which is a positive MRV signal (monitoring report, 2016-06-10). However, transparency is weakened by conflicting statements across the PDD, validation report, and monitoring report on safeguards-related items and leakage treatment, making it harder for third parties to interpret non-carbon and risk controls consistently.

Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, reducing certain double-claiming/eligibility-related marketing risks (registry extract).

- Project-specific baseline and inconsistent leakage/safeguards narratives increase over-crediting and greenwashing risk (PDD 2012-10-31; validation/monitoring reports 2016-06-10).

Because the project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, there is less risk of CORSIA-related claims being made from these credits (registry extract). Still, the baseline is project-specific and the extracted record does not show a recent baseline reassessment date, which can elevate over-crediting risk in grid-connected renewables where common practice evolves. The leakage position is inconsistent between documents (validation report vs monitoring report), and safeguards/FPIC/grievance claims are also inconsistent, increasing greenwashing exposure if buyers rely on social safeguard narratives. CCP status is not found in the extracted record, so buyers cannot rely on CCP-alignment claims without further evidence.

Documentation — 7.1 / 10

+ A relatively complete document set is referenced (PDD, validation report, monitoring report, issuance) with high extraction confidence and 11 documents used.

- Unresolved corrective action on meter calibration and multiple cross-document contradictions indicate documentation quality/control issues (monitoring report, 2016-06-10; PDD 2012-10-31).

The extracted record indicates a reasonably complete documentation trail (PDD, validation report, monitoring report, issuance) and a relatively high number of documents used (11), with high extraction confidence. Documentation quality is nevertheless impacted by the presence of a corrective action on missing meter calibration certificates (monitoring report, 2016-06-10), which suggests incomplete evidence at the time of assessment. In addition, multiple contradictions between the PDD (2012-10-31) and later documents (2016-06-10) indicate weak document control or inconsistent reporting, which lowers confidence in the record as a whole.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Investment test confirmed by VVB
● Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversal risk indicated
● Leakage	0% leakage but inconsistent justification across docs
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing not evidenced
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not evidenced

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide complete, dated calibration certificates for all electricity meters used for ER quantification and show closure of the corrective action in a subsequent verification/monitoring package.

→ Resolve and publicly clarify cross-document inconsistencies (crediting period dates; safeguards/FPIC/grievance/benefit-sharing statements; leakage treatment) with an updated consolidated project description or clarification note.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Communications Agreement
- Registration Review Report
- Project Description
- Validation Representation
- Verification Representation
- Validation Report
- Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-08C54149 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy