

QUALITY REPORT

Wind Power Project by Rajasthan Gum Private Limited (EKIESL-CDM.September-12-02)

VCS-1551 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-616CC5B8 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.6 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a stated zero leakage deduction, but the documentation also shows multiple verification findings and unresolved reversal treatment. Transparency is weakened by a low extraction-confidence record, missing monitoring details, and several document inconsistencies, so the credit claim should be treated cautiously.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1551
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS I.D. Version 18
Crediting Period	2022 — 2032
VVB	Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	119,956 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2015 — 2021
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	21 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification report records multiple findings, including a six-year monitoring-period issue, inconsistent audit statements, and missing stakeholder-communication assessment.
- The project is also registered under CDM, and the verification report notes no undertaking for double counting, which raises dual-claim risk.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB through an investment test in the verification report.

- Reversal risk is not properly addressed: the record says reversal events are not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case. However, permanence is weakly documented because reversal events are not addressed and no buffer pool share is stated, even though this is a wind project with no explicit reversal-free treatment in the extracted record. The validation report also records several findings and corrective actions, including a monitoring-period correction and unresolved reporting issues, which reduce confidence in the robustness of the crediting case.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The verification report from Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. and the monitoring period are identified, and claimed versus verified ERs match at 119,956.

- The extraction confidence is low, and the validation report lists several inconsistencies and missing items, including stakeholder communication assessment and technical details.

The project has identifiable documentation, including a named VVB and a monitoring period, and the claimed and verified emission reductions are identical at 119,956. Still, the extraction confidence is low, which means at least one key source was hard to read, and the validation report contains multiple inconsistencies and missing assessments. The record also lacks several monitoring specifics, such as the usage monitoring method and verified usage rate, which limits public traceability.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

+ The project reports a zero leakage deduction with leakage described as negligible.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while the contradiction log shows leakage and benefit-sharing inconsistencies and a crediting-period mismatch across documents.

Claim safety is moderate at best because the project uses a project baseline and reports a zero leakage deduction, but the justification is only that leakage is deemed negligible. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, which increases the need for careful scrutiny, and the contradiction log shows a leakage-justification mismatch between documents as well as a benefit-sharing inconsistency. The validation report also notes a double-counting concern because the project is registered under CDM and no undertaking for double counting was provided.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ A substantial set of official documents was used, with 21 extracted facts and a named VVB.

- Low extraction confidence and multiple corrective actions indicate the record is incomplete and not fully reliable.

The record includes many extracted facts and a named verification body, which is a positive sign for documentation coverage. However, the extraction confidence is low, and the validation report lists numerous corrective actions and findings, including inconsistent dates, audit-mode inconsistency, and missing grievance and technical details. The crediting-period contradiction also suggests the document set is not fully harmonized, which lowers documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Negligible leakage, but justification conflict
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present, but incomplete assessment
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; no CCP status stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled document set that resolves the leakage, benefit-sharing, and crediting-period contradictions and clearly explains which version is authoritative.

→ Add explicit reversal treatment, buffer-pool information, and a complete double-claim statement covering CDM registration and any other registry or program interactions.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS Issuance Deed.pdf
- 2V_PRR_1551_28March2022.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_1551_28SEP2012_04JAN2015.pdf
- VCS 1551_MR_V03_17122021.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1551_28SEP2012_04JAN2015.pdf
- REG_PRR_1551_13Feb2017.docx
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-single-PP (2).pdf
- vcs-registration-representation-single-representor-RGPL ...pdf
- COMMS_AGR_1551_06FEB2017.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1551_29DEC2016.pdf
- CDM_PROJ_DESC_PL1551_03JUL2014.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1551_05JUL2016.pdf
- VCS 1551_RCP PDD_V03_02022023_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4_1551 signed.pdf
- FVR.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1551_28SEP2012_04JAN2015.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1551_28SEP2012_04JAN2015.pdf
- FVR_VCS 1551_ESPL 21.40_final 2 1 (1).pdf
- VALID_STA_1551_23NOV2016.pdf
- Signed_Verification Deed.pdf
- CDM_VALID_REP_1551_01AUG2014.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-616CC5B8 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy