

QUALITY REPORT

# 49.5 MW Wind Power Project by Master Wind Energy Limited in Pakistan

VCS-1559 · VCS · Pakistan

Report ID: CM-F01721A3 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>7.8</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>8.2</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>7.4</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>7.8</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>7.6</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably strong wind project with VVB-confirmed investment additionality, no reported material findings, and a verified issuance equal to the amount claimed. The main limitations are that some documentation elements are not stated in the extracted record, including buffer pool treatment, baseline reassessment timing, and usage monitoring details.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1559
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Pakistan
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 17.0
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	Emergent Ventures International Pte. Ltd.
Verified ERs	417,782 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2016 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	9 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

## Red Flags

- No buffer pool percentage is stated, so permanence treatment cannot be fully verified from the extracted record.
- Usage monitoring details and any verified usage rate are not stated, which limits assessment of claim safety for end-use claims.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 8.2 / 10

+ The monitoring report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB verified it.

- Leakage is only described as negligible with a 0% deduction, and the baseline reassessment timing is not stated in available documents.

The monitoring report confirms additionality through an investment test, and the VVB is named as Emergent Ventures International Pte. Ltd., which supports a solid integrity assessment. Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, and no material findings or corrective actions were reported. The main weakness is that buffer pool treatment and baseline reassessment timing are not stated in available documents, limiting full permanence and baseline review confidence.

### Transparency — 7.4 / 10

+ The monitoring report provides a clear monitoring period and exact verified issuance, matching the amount claimed.

~ Some key public-facing details are not stated in available documents, including usage monitoring method and buffer pool treatment.

Transparency is fairly good because the monitoring report states the monitoring period and shows total ERs claimed and verified at 417,782, indicating no issuance discrepancy. The record also identifies the registry, methodology, methodology version, and VVB. However, usage monitoring method is not stated in available documents, and buffer pool treatment is also not found in the extracted record.

### Claim Safety — 7.8 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0002 with a project baseline, and the monitoring report shows no gap between claimed and verified ERs.

~ CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in available documents, so dual-market claim risk cannot be fully ruled out.

Claim safety is strong for a renewable wind project because the project uses ACM0002 and the verified issuance matches the amount claimed exactly. The leakage deduction is 0% with a stated negligible justification, which reduces over-crediting concerns. Still, CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in available documents, so the risk of overlapping market claims cannot be fully assessed.

### Documentation — 7.6 / 10

+ The extracted record draws on multiple document types, including the PDD, validation report, monitoring report, and issuance information.

~ Extraction confidence is high, but several fields remain unstated, such as baseline reassessment timing and reversal details.

Documentation quality is good overall: the extracted record cites nine documents and includes issuance, PDD, validation report, and monitoring report sources. The extraction confidence is high, and the monitoring report is dated 2022-01-26, which is reasonably recent relative to the monitoring period ending 2021-08-31. Some important items remain unstated, including buffer pool percentage, reversal details, and baseline reassessment timing.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No buffer pool stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	0% deduction with negligible justification
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline; reassessment timing not stated
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and safeguards documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide explicit documentation of buffer pool treatment, reversal risk management, and any long-term permanence safeguards.

→ State the usage monitoring method and clarify CORSIA eligibility and CCP status to reduce claim ambiguity.

## Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation
- Verification Representation
- Verification Report

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-F01721A3 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)