

## QUALITY REPORT

# REDD+ Project Resguardo Indígena Unificado–Selva de Mataven

VCS-1566 · VCS · Colombia

Report ID: CM-5F8E1A4C · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**4.4**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	<b>4.8</b>
■ Transparency (25%)	<b>4.2</b>
■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>4.5</b>
■ Documentation (15%)	<b>3.6</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a stated buffer pool, and a quantified leakage approach. However, the record also shows multiple unresolved findings, low extraction confidence, and contradictions on key risk items such as the buffer pool size, leakage treatment, and whether reversal events were reported.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1566
Sector	redd
Country	Colombia
Vintage	2018
Project Methodology	VMD0007 4.7
Crediting Period	2013 — 2042
VVB	KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. (KBS)
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	43 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

## Red Flags

- Multiple verification findings and corrective actions remain in the record, including community-benefit and participation issues.
- Contradictory evidence on reversal events, buffer pool percentage, and leakage treatment reduces confidence in the project's risk controls.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case.

- The verification record includes numerous findings and corrective actions, and the extracted facts show a non-permanence risk discussion but also a contradiction on whether reversal events were reported.

The project's additionality is stronger than average because the VVB confirmed it through an investment test. That said, the verification record contains many findings and corrective actions, including issues around community benefit distribution and participation, which weakens confidence in overall project integrity. The record also shows a buffer pool of 10%, but the contradiction log says an earlier document indicated 14%, and reversal events are inconsistently described.

### Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2020 to 2022, and the project has a named VVB, which helps traceability.

- Total verified and claimed emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the extraction confidence is low, limiting confidence in documentation completeness.

The monitoring period is clearly identified as 2020-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the VVB is named as KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. However, the extracted record does not state the total claimed or verified emission reductions, and usage monitoring details are not found in the available documents. Low extraction confidence further reduces transparency because at least one key source was poorly readable.

### Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

+ The project is marked as CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, which suggests some external quality recognition.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional, and contradictions around leakage treatment and non-permanence weaken confidence that credits are not overstated.

Claim safety is moderate but not strong. The project is marked as CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, which supports marketability, but the baseline is project-specific rather than a more conservative standardized or jurisdictional approach. The contradiction log also shows disagreement over whether leakage was addressed and whether reversal events were reported, which raises over-crediting and durability concerns.

### Documentation — 3.6 / 10

+ A relatively large number of documents were used, and the record includes FPIC, grievance, safeguards, and benefit-sharing references.

- The extraction confidence is low, one evidence document is not identified, and several corrective actions indicate incomplete or contested documentation.

Documentation is mixed: there are many extracted facts and the record includes safeguards, FPIC, grievance, and benefit-sharing references. At the same time, the extraction confidence is low, one evidence document is unidentified, and the verification record contains multiple CARs, CLs, and FARs. The crediting period runs from 2013 to 2042, while the monitoring period is much later, so the file is not especially recent relative to the full crediting window.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	buffer pool present but reversal status unclear
● <b>Leakage</b>	quantified but contradictory treatment
● <b>Baseline</b>	project baseline with reassessment timing known
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance present, but findings remain
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean reconciliation of the contradictory items, especially buffer pool size, leakage treatment, reversal events, and additionality test type, with document hierarchy explained.

→ Provide the full verified and claimed emission reduction figures, plus a complete monitoring methodology and resolution of all CARs, CLs, and FARs.

## Documents Reviewed

- PP\_ISS\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2016\_TO\_31DEC2017 (1).pdf
- PP\_ISS\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2013\_31DEC2015.pdf
- R\_V1\_PRR\_1566\_09SEPT2022.pdf
- I2\_PRR\_1566\_01FEB2019.pdf
- PP\_ISS\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2018\_TO\_31DEC2019.pdf
- SD VISta MR ProjectID1566 Draft 01JAN2020-31DEC2022.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2018\_TO\_31DEC2019.pdf
- CCB\_MON\_REP\_SUM\_SPA\_1566.pdf
- CCB VCS MR ProjectID1566 BORRADOR 01ENE2020-31DIC2022\_v1.1.pdf
- CCB VCS MR ProjectID1566 DRAFT 01JAN2020-31DEC2022.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1566\_CCB\_Draft\_01JAN2018\_TO\_31DEC2019\_resumen\_v1.1.pdf
- SD VISta MR ProjectID1566 Borrador 01JAN2020-31DEC2022.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2016\_TO\_31DEC2017.pdf
- CCB\_MON\_REP\_ENG\_1566.pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_1566\_30MAY2017 (1).pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1566\_CCB\_Draft\_01JAN2018\_TO\_31DEC2019\_v1.1.pdf
- non-permanence-risk-report-1566\_01JAN2018\_31DEC2019.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-v2.1.pdf
- CCB\_PDD\_1566\_Draft\_30\_APRIL\_2021\_resumen.pdf
- 1566, Buffer Release Report, Updated 26 April 2023.pdf
- Project\_Area\_SelvaMataven\_ID1566 (1).kml
- CCB\_PROJ\_DESC\_SUM\_SPA\_1566.pdf
- SD VISta List Rep ProjID1566vMultipleRepresentV1.1.pdf
- draft\_constancy\_VCS\_V2.pdf
- PP\_REG\_REP\_1566\_28OCT2016.pdf
- annex\_about\_renare\_&\_exclusion\_p.pdf
- COMMS\_AGR\_1566\_28OCT2016.pdf
- REG\_PRR\_1566\_28May2017.pdf
- SD VISta PD ProjectID1566 Draft 01JAN2020-31DEC2022.pdf
- SD VISta PD ProjectID1566 Borrador 01JAN2020-31DEC2022.pdf
- CCB\_PDD\_1566\_Draft\_30\_APRIL\_2021.pdf
- CCB\_PROJ\_DESC\_ENG\_1566.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_1566\_01JAN2018\_31DEC2019.pdf
- 10 06 2017\_VCS Final Report\_ Matavin Project.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2018\_TO\_31DEC2019.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2016\_TO\_31DEC2017.pdf
- CCB\_VER\_REP\_1566\_01JAN2018\_31DEC2019.pdf
- CCB\_VAL\_STA\_29MAR2022.pdf
- VALID\_STA\_1566\_06DEC2016.pdf
- CCB\_VERIF\_STA\_1566\_01JAN2018\_TO\_31DEC2019.pdf
- CCB\_VAL\_REP\_1566.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_1566\_01JAN2013\_31DEC2015.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_1566\_01JAN2016\_TO\_31DEC2017.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5F8E1A4C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)