

QUALITY REPORT

# Karacabey Wind Power Project

VCS-1569 · VCS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-3CEE36E3 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>4.3</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>4.8</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>4.1</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>4.0</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>4.3</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a registered VCS status, but the evidence base is weakened by multiple unresolved reporting gaps. The latest issuance record also shows several material contradictions and many corrective actions, which lowers confidence in the claimed emission reductions and the completeness of the monitoring package.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1569
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 17
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	108,181 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	21 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- The issuance record lists seven material findings and numerous corrective actions, including missing baseline calculations, missing net ERR equation, missing calibration certificates, and a missing no-double-counting undertaking.
- Key safeguards and project design elements are inconsistently documented across documents, including contradictions on grievance mechanisms, FPIC, additionality test type, leakage treatment, and credited emissions.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses ACM0002 under VCS, which supports a recognized methodology framework.

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest record, reversal events are not addressed, and the issuance report lists multiple corrective actions affecting core quantification and verification.

The project benefits from VVB-confirmed additionality and a standard renewable methodology, which are positive integrity signals. However, the latest issuance record says leakage is not addressed, reversal events are not addressed, and there are seven material findings plus multiple corrective actions tied to baseline calculations, net ERR equations, and supporting data. That combination suggests only moderate confidence in the robustness of the crediting claim.

### Transparency — 4.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period, VVB name, and both claimed and verified ERR totals are disclosed in the issuance record.

- The issuance record contains seven material findings and requests major report revisions, including missing baseline calculations and a missing net ERR equation, which weakens reporting clarity.

The record discloses the monitoring period, the verifier, and both claimed and verified emission reductions, which helps transparency. But the issuance document also requests substantial revisions to the monitoring report and verification report, including baseline calculations, a net ERR equation, and clearer conclusions on implementation and quantification. Those gaps reduce the clarity and auditability of the public record.

### Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

+ The project is explicitly marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk.

- The verified ERR total of 108,181 is far below the claimed 143,289, and the record contains contradictions on leakage, additionality testing, and credited emissions.

Claim safety is weakened by the large gap between claimed and verified emission reductions, with 143,289 claimed versus 108,181 verified in the latest issuance record. The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which helps, but the contradictions on leakage treatment, additionality test type, and credited emissions indicate a meaningful over-crediting and reliability risk. The latest record is more conservative than earlier documents, so I privileged the 2023 issuance record because it is the most recent and appears to be the highest-priority source for the final credited amount.

### Documentation — 4.3 / 10

+ There are many extracted documents in the record, and the monitoring period is relatively recent, ending in 2023.

- The extraction confidence is only medium, the evidence docs are not identified, and the issuance record shows extensive follow-up actions that indicate incomplete documentation.

Documentation is only moderate because the record includes many extracted documents and a recent monitoring period, but the extraction confidence is medium rather than high. The issuance record also lists numerous corrective actions and references missing supporting materials, including calibration certificates and stakeholder consultation records. That suggests the documentation package is incomplete even if the project is formally registered and monitored.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed but contradictory history
● <b>Permanence</b>	reversal risk not addressed
● <b>Leakage</b>	leakage not addressed
● <b>Baseline</b>	project baseline, reassessment missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	safeguards present but inconsistently documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean, reconciled monitoring and verification package that resolves the contradictions and includes the missing baseline calculations, net ERR equation, and supporting data.

→ Provide explicit leakage treatment, reversal assessment, and complete safeguard evidence, including the grievance register, stakeholder consultation records, and no-double-counting undertaking.

## Documents Reviewed

- PP\_ISS\_REP\_1569\_21OCT2016\_30SEP2018.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3.pdf
- Issuance.pdf
- V2\_PRR\_1569\_15FEB2022.pdf
- VCS\_Monitoring-Report-Karacabey WPP\_v6\_03-11-2023.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1569\_21OCT2016\_30SEP2018.pdf
- Karacabey WPP\_MR\_01OCT2018\_31MAR2021.pdf
- REG\_PRR\_1569\_22Feb2017.pdf
- Karacabey WPP\_Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report (MP1) (002).pdf
- 1569 Exemption Response 16 JULY 2021.pdf
- PP\_REG\_REP\_1569\_14NOV2016.pdf
- Karacabey WPP\_Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report\_v2\_201021 (MP2).pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_1569\_24JAN2017.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_1569\_21OCT2016\_30SEP2018.pdf
- VALID\_REP\_1569\_22FEB2017.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_1569\_21OCT2016\_30SEP2018.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-Karacabey WPP\_Rev 1.0\_13082021\_signed.pdf
- VALID\_STA\_1569\_09NOV2016.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2.pdf
- VCS-verification-report-1569-TQC-20112023.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Karacabey WPP\_Rev 4.0Aa\_15112021.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-3CEE36E3 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)