


QUALITY REPORT

Wind Grouped project by Hero Future Energies Private Limited (EKIESL-VCS-Aug-16-03)

VCS-1582 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-316A3D52 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the project uses an investment test, and no leakage deduction was applied. However, the record has reliability issues, including low extraction confidence, missing key monitoring details, and contradictions on leakage, FPIC, and reversal treatment that reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1582
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 17
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	28 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The documents conflict on leakage treatment, with one record describing leakage as negligible and an earlier record indicating it was quantified.
- The record is incomplete on key MRV items such as total issued versus verified ERs, usage monitoring, and CORSIA/CCP status.
- A contradiction exists on FPIC, with an earlier document indicating it was not conducted while a later record says it was conducted.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project used an investment test under ACM0002.

- Reversal treatment is weakly documented: the latest record says non-permanence risk was not addressed, and the buffer pool is not stated.

The verification record confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB validated it, which supports the project's core integrity case. Leakage is recorded as a 0% deduction, but permanence is less robustly documented because the latest record says non-permanence risk was not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is provided. The project also has a project-specific baseline, but no baseline reassessment date is stated.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- The monitoring record does not state total ERs claimed or verified, and usage monitoring is not described.

~ The VVB is named and the monitoring period is stated, but low extraction confidence and missing registry completeness details limit transparency.

Transparency is mixed because the VVB name and monitoring period are available, but the record does not state total ERs claimed or verified, and the usage monitoring method is missing. The low extraction confidence further reduces trust in the completeness of the documentation. Registry and public-facing completeness are therefore only moderate.

Claim Safety — 5.1 / 10

+ Leakage deduction of 0% is stated, and the project is a wind project with a project baseline under ACM0002.

- Contradictory leakage treatment and missing CORSIA/CCP status leave residual over-crediting and claim-risk concerns.

Claim safety is weakened by missing key safeguards for over-crediting assessment, especially the absence of CORSIA and CCP status and the lack of quantified usage evidence. The project's wind methodology and stated 0% leakage deduction are positive, but the contradiction on leakage treatment means the evidence is not fully stable. The project baseline is project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline, which is less conservative.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ The record includes a named VVB, a monitoring period, and many closed findings and corrective actions.

- Extraction confidence is low, several key fields are not stated, and the evidence list is effectively incomplete.

The file set is reasonably broad, with many documents used, a named verifier, and multiple closed findings and corrective actions. However, the extraction confidence is low, which means at least one key document was hard to read, and several important fields remain unstated. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is relatively recent, but the overall documentation quality is only moderate.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	0% deduction with conflicting records
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, readable monitoring and verification package showing total ERs claimed versus verified, usage monitoring, and registry issuance details.

→ Resolve the contradictions on leakage, FPIC, and reversal treatment with a document-by-document explanation and add explicit buffer pool or permanence treatment.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1 VCS 1582.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation VCS 1582.pdf
- Issuance Deed VCS 1582.pdf
- VCS Issuance Representation_Hero Future.pdf
- Issuance Representation_1582_2025-08-21.pdf
- VCS 1582 MR V02 01022023 - updated.pdf
- VCS1582_MR_Hero Future_V02_17102020 (29.10.2020).pdf
- VCS1582_MR_Hero Future_v02 22062021.pdf
- VCS Joint PD and MR _Hero- Wind _V03_22052017 (3).pdf
- VCS MR 1582 01072022-30092023.pdf
- VCS Registration Representation_Hero Future.pdf
- Communications agreement_Hero wind.pdf
- VCS 1582 ER V03 03072024.xlsx
- India (Outline+Filled).kml
- VCS SD Report MP 0301-Aug-20 to 31-March-21_Final-1-5.pdf
- VCS SD Report MP 0202-Apr-17 to 31-July-20_final.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-1582 V02 (2).pdf
- FVR-VCS_VER _HERO_VCS1582_TQC 15320-04.11.2020.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- CCIPL 472_Joint Val & Ver_FVR_23052017 (1).pdf
- FVR _VCS 1582_TQC 44122.pdf
- VCS Verification deed.PDF
- VCS VerR 1582 01072022-30092023.pdf
- FVR-Hero future_VCS 1582_TQC_11621.pdf
- Verification-Representation-VKU.VER.177.24_VCS_1582.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1 VCS 1582.pdf
- VCS Validation deed.PDF

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-316A3D52 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy