

QUALITY REPORT

Inner Mongolia Wu‘Erqihan IFM (Conversion Of Logged To Protected Forest) Project

VCS-1715 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-697B7C9C · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was verified by the VVB, a buffer pool of 22% is in place, and no reversal events were reported. However, the record is weakened by a project-specific baseline, zero leakage deduction with only a negligible-justification claim, and several safeguard-related contradictions across documents. Registry status is on hold, which further reduces confidence in the claim quality.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1715
Sector	redd
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	VM0010 1.3
Crediting Period	2013 — 2042
VVB	China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (CEC)
Verified ERs	1,095,069 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2013 — 2017
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Registry status is on hold, which is a material credibility concern for issued credits.
- Contradictory evidence exists on leakage treatment, FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, and grievance mechanisms.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the core project case.
- The baseline is project-specific and the record does not show a reassessment date; leakage was deducted at 0% and only described as negligible.

The issuance record shows additionality confirmed by the VVB through an investment test, which is a meaningful positive. Permanence is supported by a 22% buffer pool and no reversal events reported, but the baseline is project-specific and the last reassessment date is not found in the extracted record. Leakage is a weakness because the project applies a 0% deduction and only says leakage is deemed negligible.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The monitoring period and verified issuance volume are stated, and the VVB is identified as China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd.
- The authoritative registry status is on hold, and key MRV details such as usage monitoring and total claimed emissions reductions are not stated in the extracted record.

The monitoring period and verified issuance volume are stated, and the VVB is named in the record. However, the registry status is on hold, which is a major transparency and credibility concern. Several MRV fields are not stated in available documents, including usage monitoring details and the claimed emissions reduction total.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

- + A 22% buffer pool and no reported reversals reduce some permanence and over-crediting concerns.
- The project relies on a project baseline with no visible reassessment timing, and the leakage treatment is weakly supported given the contradiction over whether leakage was addressed.

Claim safety is moderate at best because the project has some safeguards against over-crediting, including a buffer pool and no reported reversals. That said, the project-specific baseline and the weakly supported zero leakage deduction increase the risk of overstated credits. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so dual-market claim safety cannot be strengthened from the record.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

- + Eighteen documents were used and no material findings or corrective actions were reported in the issuance record.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the contradictions on FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, grievance mechanisms, leakage, and reversals indicate uneven documentation quality.

The record draws on 18 documents, and the issuance file reports no material findings or corrective actions. Even so, extraction confidence is only medium, meaning at least one key document was not fully readable. The contradictions around FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, grievance mechanisms, leakage, and reversals show that the documentation set is not fully consistent.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	buffer pool present, no reversals reported
● Leakage	0% deduction with weak justification
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance not evidenced consistently
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, document-backed explanation for leakage treatment and any reassessment of the baseline methodology.

→ Resolve the contradictions on FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, grievance mechanisms, and reversal handling with a single authoritative evidence trail.

Documents Reviewed

- PP_ISS_REP_1715_01JAN2013_30JUN2017.pdf
- Inner_Mongolia_Wuerqih_IFM_(conversion_of_logged_to_protected_forest)Project-MR.pdf
- MONIT_REP_1715_01JAN2013_30JUN2017.pdf
- 1715 - Wu'erqihan.kml
- Project 1715, New Review Notification Letter, 12DEC2025.pdf
- Registration_Representation_of_Inner_Mongolia_Wu'erqihan_IFM_(conversion_of_logged_to_protected_forest)_Project-2.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_1715_15NOV2017.pdf
-
- VCS_Non-Permanence_Risk_Report-Inner_Mongolia_Wuerqih_IFM_(conversion_of_logged_to_protected_forest)Project.pdf
- AFOLU_ELEM_1715_13DEC2017.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-multiple-PPs-1715.pdf
- VCS_Listing_Representation_Multiple_Representors.pdf
- COMMS_AGR_PL1715_07JUN2017.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_1715_13DEC2017.pdf
- Inner_Mongolia_Wu???erqihan_IFM_???conversion_of_logged_to_protected_forest???Project-PD.pdf
- VALID_REP_1715_15DEC2017.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1715_01JAN2013_30JUN2017.pdf
- VCS_Validation_Report_of_Inner_Mongolia_Wu'erqihan_IFM_(conversion_of_logged_to_protected_forest)_Project.pdf
- VCS_Validation_Representationof_Inner_Mongolia_Wu'erqihan_IFM_(conversion_of_logged_to_protected_forest)_Project.pdf
- VERIF_STA_1715_01JAN2013_30JUN2017.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-697B7C9C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy