

## QUALITY REPORT

# Inner Mongolia Keyihe IFM (Conversion Of Logged To Protected Forest) Project

VCS-1718 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-76BD713C · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**5.4**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	<b>6.2</b>
■ Transparency (25%)	<b>5.1</b>
■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>5.4</b>
■ Documentation (15%)	<b>4.0</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a buffer pool, and no reported reversals. However, the verification package also contains a CAR and multiple clarifications, and the uncertainty treatment for improved forest management was flagged as not calculated transparently, which weakens confidence in the credited volume. Registry status is on hold, and the low extraction confidence plus document contradictions reduce trust in the record.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1718
Sector	redd
Country	China
Vintage	2013
Project Methodology	VM0010 1.3
Crediting Period	2013 — 2042
VVB	China Quality Certification Center
Verified ERs	492,793 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2017 — 2020
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

## Red Flags

- The verification report identified one corrective action request and five clarifications, including a concern that uncertainty for the improved forest management activities was not calculated in a correct and transparent way.
- The registry status is on hold, and the extracted record shows low extraction confidence with contradictions across documents on safeguards, grievance, FPIC, leakage, and reversal treatment.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which is a meaningful positive signal.
- The verification report recorded one corrective action request and five clarifications, including a transparency issue in the uncertainty calculation for the project scenario.

The project has a stronger-than-average additionality signal because the VVB confirmed an investment test, and the record shows a 22% buffer pool with no reported reversal events. That said, the verification report also lists one corrective action request and five clarifications, including a finding that uncertainty for the improved forest management activities was not calculated in a correct and transparent way, which weakens confidence in the credited amount. Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, but the documentation issues keep the integrity score from being higher.

### Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period and verified emission reductions are stated, and the record includes a named VVB and a quantified verified total.
- The extracted record has low extraction confidence, only one evidence document is identified, and the registry status is on hold.

The monitoring period is clearly stated and the record includes a quantified verified total of 492,793, with the China Quality Certification Center named as verifier. However, the extracted record has low extraction confidence, the evidence base is thin, and the registry status is on hold, all of which reduce transparency. Public-facing completeness is also limited because several operational details, such as usage monitoring, are not stated in the available documents.

### Claim Safety — 5.4 / 10

- + The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market claim risk.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly reassessed standardized baseline, and the record contains unresolved documentation issues around leakage and uncertainty.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that the project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of overlapping aviation claims. On the other hand, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and the record does not provide a robust quantified treatment for several claim-critical items. The leakage treatment is favorable on paper, but the broader documentation weaknesses and corrective actions keep over-crediting risk from looking low.

### Documentation — 4.0 / 10

- + The record includes multiple official-document fields, a named verifier, and a long crediting period with a defined monitoring window.
- Low extraction confidence, only one evidence document identified, and several contradictions across documents reduce documentation reliability.

The record contains a substantial number of extracted fields and identifies the verifier, methodology, crediting period, and monitoring period. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is identified in the structured record, and the project has a long list of unresolved or partially resolved issues. The contradictions on benefit sharing, safeguards, grievance mechanism, FPIC, leakage justification, and reversal treatment further reduce documentation quality.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	Buffer pool present, no reversals reported
● <b>Leakage</b>	0% deduction with negligible justification
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project-specific baseline
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and safeguards documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	Not CORSIA-eligible

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clearer, fully traceable recalculation of uncertainty and credited emissions, with all CAR and clarification items closed.

→ Provide a complete, readable evidence set with consistent safeguard, leakage, and reversal documentation across the verification and monitoring reports.

## Documents Reviewed

- issuance deed of representation.pdf
- PP\_ISS\_REP\_1718\_01JAN2013\_30JUN2017.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_1718\_01JAN2013\_30JUN2017.pdf
- VCS-MR-Inner Mongolia Keyihe IFM conversion of logged to protected forest Project.pdf
- PP\_REG\_REP\_1718\_15NOV2017.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-single-PP-1718.pdf
- AFOLU\_PROJ\_ELEM\_1718\_15NOV2017.pdf
- R\_ISS1\_PRR\_1718\_05JUL2018\_FINAL.pdf
- listing\_representation.pdf
- Project 1718, New Review Notification Letter, 12DEC2025.pdf
- Partial-Release-Registration-Representation-v4.2.pdf
- MR-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report.pdf
- AFOLU\_PROJ\_ELEM\_1718\_01JAN2013\_30JUN2017.pdf
- Inner\_Mongolia\_Keyihe\_IFM\_???conversion\_of\_logged\_to\_protected\_forest???Project-PD-V03.pdf
- Inner\_Mongolia\_Keyihe\_IFM\_???conversion\_of\_logged\_to\_protected\_forest???Project-PD.pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_1718\_28JUN2018.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Inner Mongolia Keyihe IFM conversion of logged to protected forest Project-submission.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_1718\_01JAN2013\_30JUN2017.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS\_Validation\_Report\_of\_Inner\_Mongolia\_Keyihe\_IFM\_(conversion\_of\_logged\_to\_protected\_forest)\_Project.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_1718\_01JAN2013\_30JUN2017.pdf
- VCS\_Validation\_Representation\_of\_Inner\_Mongolia\_Keyihe\_IFM\_(conversion\_of\_logged\_to\_protected\_forest)\_Project.pdf
- VALID\_REP\_1718\_28JUN2018.pdf
- 1718 Verification Report Inner Mongolia Keyihe IFM Project Updated.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-CQC.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-76BD713C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)