

QUALITY REPORT

Southern Cardamom REDD+ Project

VCS-1748 · VCS · Cambodia

Report ID: CM-D82849A3 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a jurisdictional baseline last reassessed in 2024, a 10% buffer contribution, and no reversals reported in the extracted record. However, the verification material also shows many findings and corrective actions, low extraction confidence, and several contradictions that reduce confidence in the reliability of the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1748
Sector	redd
Country	Cambodia
Vintage	2015
Project Methodology	VM0009 3.0
Crediting Period	2015 — 2044
VVB	Verra
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	76 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification record includes many findings, including 19 CARs, 11 CLs, 63 NCRs, and a FAR tied to stakeholder consultation and participation.
- There are contradictions on key issues such as leakage treatment, baseline method, additionality test type, reversal status, and crediting period, which weakens trust in the extracted evidence.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses an investment test under VM0009.
- The verification record reports many findings and corrective actions, including missing ERR spreadsheets and issues around stakeholder consultation and safeguards.

The project has some core integrity strengths: the VVB confirmed additionality, the project is described as using an investment test, the baseline is jurisdictional, and the buffer pool contribution is 10%. On the negative side, the verification material lists extensive findings and corrective actions, including missing ERR calculation spreadsheets and issues around stakeholder consultation, grievance handling, and worker health and safety. The record also says there have been no reversals, but the contradictions field notes a conflicting document that reported reversals, so reliability is weakened.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The monitoring period is stated as 2022-01-01 to 2023-12-31, and the baseline was reassessed in 2024.
- Key MRV quantities are not stated in the extracted record, including claimed and verified ERR totals and the usage monitoring method.

Transparency is only moderate because the monitoring period is stated and the baseline was reassessed in 2024, but key reporting values are missing from the extracted record. Claimed and verified ERR totals are not stated, and the usage monitoring method is also not found. The low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the public record is complete and easy to audit.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

- + The project is marked as CORSIA-eligible and CCP-approved, which supports market recognition.
- The record contains contradictions on leakage, baseline method, additionality test type, and reversal status, increasing over-crediting and claim-risk concerns.

Claim safety is mixed. On the positive side, the project is marked as CORSIA-eligible and CCP-approved, and leakage is shown as a 0% deduction with a quantified justification. However, the contradictions show major reliability issues on leakage, baseline method, additionality test type, and reversal status, which raises concern about over-crediting and the robustness of the claim. The absence of claimed and verified ERR totals in the extracted record also limits confidence in the volume of credits being asserted.

Documentation — 3.0 / 10

- + A large number of documents were used in extraction, and the project record includes VVB and monitoring information.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the evidence set contains many unresolved findings plus several contradictory statements across documents.

Documentation quality is weak to moderate. Although many documents were used, the extraction confidence is low, which suggests at least one key source was hard to read. The record also contains a long list of findings and required corrections, including missing buffer contribution details and missing ERR spreadsheets, which indicates incomplete or unsettled documentation. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is recent, but the contradictions across documents reduce trust in the assembled file.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Buffer present, but reversal contradiction
● Leakage	Quantified 0% deduction, but contradictory history
● Baseline	Jurisdictional baseline, reassessed in 2024
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but findings remain
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible and CCP-approved

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a clean, reconciled set of ERR calculations and monitoring tables showing claimed versus verified issuance for the monitoring period.
- Resolve the document contradictions on leakage, reversals, baseline method, additionality framing, and crediting period, and provide a clearer public audit trail.

Documents Reviewed

- PP_ISS_REP_1748_30NOV2018.pdf
- SCRP_Issuance_Representation_Single_Representor_v4.1_M3.pdf
- CCB_VCS_V3_PRR_1748_01JAN2021_31DEC2021.pdf
- SCRP-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1_2021.pdf
- 1748_CCB VCS MR 1748 DRAFT 01Jan2022-31Dec2023 v2.pdf
- 1748__SCRP_M3_SD-VISa-Monitoring-Report_v2.1.pdf
- SCRP MR Summary Khm_M2 v1.pdf
- CCB_MONIT_REP_ENG_PL1748_01JAN2015_31DEC2017.pdf
- Monitoring Report
- S_Cardamoms_RP_Monitoring Report_M3_CCBv3.0 _ VCSv3.4_V3.1.pdf
- CCB_MONIT_REP_ENG_DRAFT_PL1748_01JAN2015_31DEC2017.pdf
- S_Cardamoms_RP_Monitoring Report_M3_CCBv3.0 _ VCSv3.4_V2.1.pdf
- CCB_MON_REP_SUM_ENG_DRAFT_PL1748_01JAN2015_31DEC2017.pdf
- SCRP_SD-VISa-Monitoring-Report-v2.pdf
- SCRP_M2_SD-VISa-Monitoring-Report-v3.2.pdf
- SCRP_SD-VISa-Monitoring-Report-v3.4 (002).pdf
- SCRP Project MR Summary_Khmer_M4 v1.pdf
- SCRP_M2_SD-VISa-Monitoring-Report-v2.1.pdf
- SCRP SDVISa MR_M3_Summary_Khmer v2.1.pdf
- S_Cardamoms_RP_Monitoring Report_M2_CCBv3.0 _ VCSv3.4_V2.pdf
- CCB_MON_REP_SUM_KHM_DRAFT_PL1748_01JAN2015_31DEC2017.pdf
- SCRP VCS & CCB MR Summary_M3 v4_Khm.pdf
- SCRP Project MR Summary_English_M2 v1.pdf
- S_Cardamoms_RP_Monitoring Report_M2_CCBv3.0 _ VCSv3.4_V3.3.pdf
- SCRP Project MR Summary_English_M3 v4.pdf
- SCRP Project MR Summary_English_M3 v3.pdf
- SCRP Project MR Summary_Khm_M3 v3_Final.pdf
- SCRP SDVISa MR Summary_Khmer v1.pdf
- Lising_Representation_By_Royal_Government_Of_Cambodia,_Ministry.pdf
- SCRP SDVISa MR Summary_Engish v2.pdf
- 1748_WA_3 Month Progress Report_10SEP2024.pdf
- SCRP SD_VISa PD Summary_Khmer v1.pdf
- 1748_CCB_VCS_V2_SDV_VV1_Findings Report_10SEP2024.pdf
- Wildlife Alliance_ Nov 2024_update for stakeholders_FINAL.pdf
- 1748_Review Notification Letter_19JUN2023.pdf
- SC_REDD_Project_Non-Permanence_Risk_Report_template_v3.2_v4.3.pdf
- 1748_CCB_VCS_V3_SDV_V2_Findings Report_10SEP2024.pdf
- 1748_WA_Review Report_10SEP2024.pdf
- CCB_Exemption_Request_Granted_1748_14NOV2025.pdf
- 1748_Review Completion Letter_10SEP2024.pdf
- VCSCCBV2_SDVR+V1_PRR_1748_26AUG2021.pdf
- 1748 WA 12-Month Progress Report 13MAR2025.pdf
- PRR_1748_SD VISa_V2_WWC_Response.docx
- SCRP SDVISa PD Summary_Engish v2.pdf
- 1748, Progress Report Acknowledgement, 27MAR2025.pdf
- SCRP_SD_VISa_Registration_Representation_Single_Representor_Template-v1.1.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_PL1748_30NOV2018.pdf

- Public_Comments_Summary_Project 1748.pdf
- Declaration of Agency and Communications Agreement_Project ID 1748.pdf
- 1748_CCB_VCS_Val_Findings Report_10SEP2024.pdf
- 1748_Review Notification Letter_1FEB2024.pdf
- ID 1748.kml
- DRAFT_PROJ_DESC_PL1748_08MAR2018.pdf
- CCB_PRJ_DES_ENG_DRAFT_PL1748_08MAR2018.pdf
- SCRP_SD-VISa-Project-Description-v2.pdf
- CCB_PRJ_DES_SUM_ENG_DRAFT_PL1748_08MAR2018.pdf
- SCRP_SD-VISa-Project-Description-v3.5 (002).pdf
- Project Design Document (PDD)
- CCB_PRJ_DES_SUM_KHM_DRAFT_PL1748_08MAR2018.pdf
- 20100.00_Cardamom_VCS_Verification_Representation_Final_v1.pdf
- 20100.00_Cardamom_SDVISa_Ver_Represent_Final_v3.1_20210825.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_REP_ENG_1748_01JAN2015_31DEC2017.pdf
- CCB_VALID_REP_1748_30NOV2018.pdf
- 20100.00_Cardamom_SDVISa_ValRep_Final_v3.1_20210825.pdf
- 20100_Cardamom_SDVISa_Val_Representation_Final_v3.1_20210825.pdf
- 20100.00_Cardamom_SDVISa_VerifRep_Final_v3.1_20210825.pdf
- 20100.00_Cardamom_CCB_Verification_Statement_Final_v2.pdf
- VALID_STA_PL1748_30NOV2018.pdf
- 20221007_SCRP_3rd VR CCB_VStatement v1.pdf
- VALID_REP_PL1748_30NOV2018.pdf
- Validation / Verification Report
- 20230127_SCRP_2nd VR SDVISa v1.3_Clean.pdf
- 20221007_SCRP_3rd VR VCS CCB v1.3_clean.pdf
- VERIF_STA_PL1748_30NOV2018.pdf
- VERIF_REP_1748_01JAN2015_31DEC2017.pdf
- 20100.00_Cardamom_CCB_VCS_VerifRep_Final_v2 (002).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D82849A3 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy