QUALITY REPORT

Bundled Solar Photovoltaic Project by ACME

VCS-1753 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-025D1579 · Generated: 2026-04-15 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0 Overall Score out of 10		
	Integrity (35%)	5.4
	Transparency (25%)	4.8
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
	Documentation (15%)	5.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS solar project with VVB-confirmed investment-test additionality and no material findings reported, which supports moderate integrity. However, the monitoring report still leaves important gaps around leakage treatment, reversal handling, and supporting evidence, and there is a major emissions reduction discrepancy between the validation and monitoring records that weakens confidence in the claimed volume.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1753
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	1,305,548 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	46 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-15

Red Flags

- The verified emissions reductions differ materially between the validation report and the monitoring report, indicating a reliability issue in the crediting figures.

- Leakage is marked as not addressed, and the monitoring report requests additional evidence for grid supply, invoices, calibration certificates, and a no-double-accounting undertaking.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

+ The verification report confirms an investment-based additionality test and the VVB accepted it.

- Leakage is not addressed, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and reversal handling is not documented in the extracted record.

The verification report supports additionality through an investment test, and the VVB identified no material findings, which is a positive signal. Still, the extracted record does not state a buffer pool, does not document reversal events clearly, and marks leakage as not addressed, all of which weaken the permanence and baseline robustness picture.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a large set of source documents used in extraction.

- The monitoring report requests missing supporting evidence for electricity supplied to the grid, invoices, calibration certificates, and a no-double-accounting undertaking.

Transparency is mixed because the project has a named VVB and a clearly stated monitoring period, but the monitoring report also asks for missing technical specifications, O&M; and PPA documents, grid-supply evidence, invoices, and calibration certificates. That suggests the public record is incomplete even though the document set is fairly broad.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces one channel of dual-claim risk.

- The verified emissions reduction figure conflicts with the earlier validation figure, and leakage treatment is not explained.

Claim safety is reduced by the large discrepancy in verified emissions reductions between the monitoring report and the validation report. I privileged the more recent monitoring report value because it is later and closer to issuance, but the contradiction itself lowers confidence; the lack of a leakage deduction and the absence of usage monitoring details also increase over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 5.2 / 10

+ The extraction drew on multiple official documents, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance records.

- The monitoring report contains numerous corrective-action requests and the extraction confidence is not weakened, but key supporting evidence is still missing from the record.

Documentation breadth is decent, with validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance records all present, and the extraction confidence is high. However, the monitoring report itself lists many corrective actions and missing attachments, so the record is not fully complete or cleanly evidenced across the monitoring period.

Risk Indicators

● **Additionality**	VVB-confirmed investment test
● **Permanence**	No reversal evidence, but buffer not stated
● **Leakage**	Leakage not addressed
● **Baseline**	Project baseline stated, reassessment missing
● **Safeguards**	Safeguards present but evidence gaps remain
● **Double-claim**	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the missing supporting documents for grid electricity delivery, invoices, calibration certificates, PPA, and O&M; agreement, and reconcile the emissions reduction discrepancy across reports.

→ State a clear leakage assessment, document reversal/buffer arrangements, and publish a complete no-double-accounting and stakeholder consultation record for the monitoring period.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Communications Agreement
- Listing Representation
- Registration Representation
- Draft Project Description
- Project Description
- Verification Representation
- Verification Report
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation

Report ID: CM-025D1579 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-15 · Generated: 2026-04-15

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy